No. 149



National Range Judging Contest Manual



Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service
Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources
Oklahoma State University

The National Rangeland Judging Contest

Judging Rangeland for Livestock and Wildlife Values

7th Edition

Contest Committee:

Terrence G. Bidwell

Professor and Extension Specialist Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management Oklahoma State University

Mark E. Moseley

NRCS Coordinator for the Texas Coalition of the Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Harry L. Fritzler

Resource Specialist (Rangeland)
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Steven J. Glasgow

Grazing Lands Specialist USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Copies of the contest manual, *Judging Rangeland for Livestock and Wildlife Values*, can be purchased by contacting:

Oklahoma State University State 4-H Office 205 4-H Building Stillwater, OK 74078-6063 (405) 744-8887

Acknowledgments: A portion of funding for The National Range Judging Contest Manual was provided through a grant from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and member registration fees. Additional funding was provided by the Renewable Resources Extension Act (RREA). Many natural resource professionals have contributed to this contest.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SectionPage
Introduction
History of the National Contest
Philosophy and Objectives
How the Contest is Conducted
Contest Set-up
Scoring Forms5
Ecological Sites
Similarity Index
Resource Value Rating
Beef Cattle Habitat Evaluation20
Guide to Management Practices for Beef Cattle22
Beef Cattle Habitat Evaluation Form23
Bobwhite Quail Habitat Evaluation26
Guide to Management Practices for Bobwhite Quail
Bobwhite Quail Habitat Evaluation Form
Glossary of Terms
Rangeland Contest Example
Plant List and Field Guide to Oklahoma Plants Book Availability41

INTRODUCTION

Rangeland is a kind of land, not a land use, on which the native vegetation is predominately herbaceous plants and shrubs. Rangeland is the most extensive kind of land in the world, comprising more than 47% of the earth's land surface. In the contiguous 48 states, rangeland makes up 45% of the land surface. Although some of Oklahoma's rangelands have been destroyed by farming or conversion to introduced plants, 40% of the state (over 17 million acres) remains in native rangeland, making it the most extensive kind of land in the state.

The rangeland **ecosystem*** is characterized by many organisms. Mixtures of native **grasses, forbs,** or **shrubs** exist as unique native **plant communities.** These plant communities include tallgrass prairie, shortgrass prairie, mixed grass prairie, sandsage grassland, shinnery oak grassland, mesquite grassland, and cross timbers. The cross timbers is a mixture of vegetation that includes post oak and blackjack oak forests, oak **mottes** and oak **savannas** interspersed with tallgrass prairie. Many plants that occur in rangeland also occur in the forests of eastern Oklahoma in forest openings or in the forest understory.

Rangeland provides habitat for many native plants and animals as well as domestic livestock. Rangeland provides **biological diversity**, high quality watersheds, and scenic vistas. Rangeland is the major contributor to Oklahoma's multi-billion dollar-a-year livestock industry and billion dollar-a-year recreational industry.

Oklahoma is the third most botanically diverse state in the contiguous 48 states. Native vascular plant communities contain over 2,600 species, 824 genera, and 154 families. Animal diversity is also high with over 735 species of vertebrates and countless invertebrates. This biological diversity is the result of thousands of years of interactions among precipitation, temperature, elevation, topography, soils, herbivory, fire, and Native Americans. Relocation of Native Americans and settlement by Europeans during the past 170 years has significantly altered historical landscape patterns and biological diversity in Oklahoma.

HISTORY OF THE NATIONAL CONTEST

Oklahoma has the distinction of having hosted the National Rangeland Judging Contest annually since 1955. Thousands of youth and young adults qualify for the National Contest by participating in local, regional, and state contests throughout the country. Traditionally the contest has only considered managing cattle on rangeland and introduced pasture. However, this manual, *Judging Rangeland for Livestock and Wildlife Values*, initiates a more realistic, contemporary, and scientifically based view of rangeland ecosystems.

PHILOSOPHY AND OBJECTIVES

As greater pressure is placed on our limited natural resources by a growing human population desiring a higher standard of living, stewardship of the land must not be overlooked. A part of **land stewardship** is conserving and restoring native plant communities, ecosystems, and **landscapes**. Managing the total ecosystem rather than one or two parts is complicated and offers a great challenge to our society.

^{*} Words in boldface are defined in the Glossary of Terms on page 36.

Within the ecosystem, the key components are physical attributes such as **biotic** and **abiotic components** (structure) and processes such as **energy flow** and **nutrient cycling** (function). When an ecosystem is healthy, its components are intact, sustainable, and available for future generations to use.

Since the **extirpation** of bison, prairie dogs, elk, and antelope, and the associated suppression of fire, natural ecosystems have declined in health (i.e., biological diversity); we can begin to restore rangeland ecosystems to their former biological diversity by restoring fire and grazing/browsing animals to fill the vacant ecological **niche**.

The contest will provide insight into the basic tools that are used in land stewardship, which is the application of **ecological principles** and historically significant disturbances such as fire and grazing. The objectives of the contest are to teach participants some of the principles of ecology including soil/plant relationships, plant/animal relationships, and plant succession as applied to management of the land resource. We have chosen beef cattle and bobwhite quail to demonstrate the concept of habitat evaluation. Both species are ecologically and economically important and their relationship to different stages of plant succession is well known.

Habitat evaluation guides will be used for determining the value of the site for bobwhite quail and beef cattle. These guides provide a systematic and objective approach to determining the kind, amount, condition, and interspersion of various habitat components.

HOW THE CONTEST IS CONDUCTED

Judging rangeland is combined into a four-part program. Contestants are asked to:

- 1. Determine the ecological site and similarity index.
- 2. Determine the value of the ecological site for beef cattle and bobwhite quail.
- 3. Identify plants and give their value for beef cattle and bobwhite quail.
- 4. Make management recommendations based on the resource value ratings stated in the objectives.

Other Contest Information

- Spend 20 minutes at each location.
- Use 10 minutes at the end of the contest to make sure the scoresheet is properly filled out.
- For the national contest, use five contestant groups. Groups 1-4 for students and group 5 for coaches and individuals.
- The contest is designed to evaluate both beef cattle and bobwhite quail on the same location in order to facilitate learning the principle of integrated management.
- The contest is divided into two phases (1) Resource Inventory, and (2) Resource Management.
- Use quail management practices for quail and cattle management practices for cattle.
- Start by making the resource inventory of present or bench mark conditions. The limiting factors revealed during this process are those to be marked. Then move to the management decisions for cattle and quail. Do not return to marked items on resource inventory.
- If more than one limiting factor occurs (two or more limiting factors with the same value), then make sure that all factors with the lowest value are marked.
- The contest committee should carefully evaluate each location before deciding on the management scenario and numerical objective(s).
- Assume that if a management practice is checked to correct a limiting factor for a criteria, then the value for the component is raised to 40. However, if the component has more than one criteria, use the lowest number. Keep raising limiting factors by checking management practices until the lowest number meets or exceeds the stated objective.

CONTEST SET-UP

Select Five Locations — Ecological sites should be about 100' x 100'.

Location 1 - Determine the ecological site.

Determine the similarity index for the site.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for beef cattle.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for bobwhite quail.

Make management recommendations based on the stated objective(s).

Location 2 - Determine the ecological site.

Determine the similarity index for the site.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for beef cattle.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for bobwhite quail.

Make management recommendations based on the stated objective(s).

Location 3 - Determine the ecological site.

Determine the similarity index for the site.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for beef cattle.

Determine the resource value rating of the site for bobwhite quail.

Make management recommendations based on the stated objective(s).

Location 4 - Identify the plants and give their characteristics.

Location 5 - Identify the plants and give their characteristics.

For Locations 1 to 3, Ecological Sites, mark the site boundary with white flags and:

- Mark a selected plant with a *red flag* close to the edge of the site boundary for judging utilization by beef cattle. Write cattle on this flag.
- Mark a selected plant with a *blue flag* close to the edge of the site boundary for judging utilization by quail for nesting cover. Write quail on this flag. The same plant can be used for both.
- Mark protective cover for judging canopy closure.
- Place the soil judging pit outside the site boundary.

For Location 4, Plant Identification Site, flag 10 plants.

For Location 5, Plant Identification Site, flag 10 plants.

The contestant is given the following:

- 1. One or more written management scenarios and objectives for each ecological site
- 2. Appropriate Ecological Site Guides
- 3. One Beef Cattle Habitat Evaluation Form
- 4. One Bobwhite Quail Habitat Evaluation Form
- 5. One score card

Scoring. A team consists of four individuals, with scores of the top three combined for the total team score. Individuals can compete in a separate category. The total possible score for each ecological site is 200 points (3 sites times 200 points = 600 points) and 400 points for the plant identification. Total possible points equals 1000.

In the case of a tie in the team score, use the score of the 4th place individual. If one team has only three members, the team with the 4th member is the winner. If a tie still exists, use the scores from the Plant Identification part of the contest. The team with the first largest score can be declared the winner. If this does not break the tie, the score from various components of the contest can be used as tie breakers. An alternative is to place all team names in a box and draw for placing. This same procedure can be used to break individual-tied scores.

Grading. For ecological sites, similarity index, and habitat rating there is only one answer. For habitat limiting factors and recommended management practices there are multiple answers with each having assigned points.

National Rangeland Judging Contest Site Form

Instructions:

Place an X in the block that corresponds with the correct site and factor or description observed. Double check your answers making sure that the X is only in one box and does not overlap into the adjacent space.

				ıs	
	Point	Si	te Nu	ımber	
Ecological Sites (one answer)	Value	1	2	3	Last Name First
Loamy Bottomland	25				
Loamy Prairie					
Deep Savanna					
Shallow Savanna					Address
Deep Sand					City/State/7in
Hardland or Claypan Prairie				Ш	City/State/Zip
Shallow or Rocky Prairie					
Clay Prairie					Contestant
Breaks					Number
		Si	te Nu	ımber	
imilarity Index (one answer)		1	2	3	
76% - 100%	30			\Box	Decemberded Mana
51% - 75%				\vdash	Recommended Mana
26% - 50%		-		\vdash	
0% - 25%		$\overline{}$			Management for Bobwhite Quail
	ш				Continue Present Management
		Si	te Nu	ımber	Improve or Develop Nesting Cover
bwhite Quail Habitat Limiting Factor	r	1	2	3	Improve or Develop Brood Habitat
Limiting Factor A (nesting cover)	5				Improve or Develop Protective Cove
Limiting Factor B (brood habitat)	5			+	Improve or Develop Food
Limiting Factor C (protective cover)	5			+	Increase the Amount of Bare Groun
Limiting Factor D (food)	5		\vdash	+	Apply Invasive Plant Control
Limiting Factor E (site integrity)	5			+	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
obwhite Quail Habitat Rating (one an				\vdash	
Excellent (31 - 40)	10			\Box	Management for Beef Cattle
Good (21 - 30)				+	Continue Present Management
Fair (11 - 20)			_	+	Begin a Planned Grazing System
Poor (<11)				+	Apply Forb or Grass Control
		Si	te Nu	ımber	Apply Woody Plant Control
eef Cattle Habitat Limiting Factor		1	2	3	Decrease Stocking Rate
Limiting Factor A (forage factors)	5			\Box	Increase Stocking Rate
Limiting Factor B (distribution factors)	\vdash			+	Change the Kind of Grazing/Browsi
Limiting Factor C (site integrity)	5			\vdash	Develop Water
eef Cattle Habitat Rating (one answe	-			\vdash	Plant Adapted Species
Excellent (31 - 40)	10			\Box	Apply Invasive Plant Control
Good (21 - 30)			\vdash	+	pp.,ac.s r lanc control
Fair (11 - 20)				+	
Poor (<11)			\vdash	+	

School Na	ame						
Last Nam	е	First N	Name				
Address							
City/State	/Zip						
-	ontestant Number		FFA Numb				
Recon	nmended	d Manaç			ctic	es	
				Point Value	Site	Num	ber
Managemen	t for Bobwhi	ite Quail			1	2	3
Continue Pr	esent Manag	ement		5			
Improve or I	Develop Nest	ing Cover		5			
Improve or I	Develop Broo	d Habitat		5			
Improve or I	Develop Prote	ective Cover		5			
Improve or I	Develop Food	t		5			

Address
me
_ Na
schoo
School

National Rangeland Judging Contest Plant Identification Form Instructions: Clearly print the plant I.D. number from the key in the appropriate blank. Place an X in the appropriate column(s) describing characteristics and ecological factors. Each plant and characteristics are worth 20 points.

Cattle C										Eco	logical	Ecological and Resource Rating	esour	ce Rati	ng -
Plant Characteristics		ì								De	sırable	= De	Ondes	irable:	ے ا
Mumber		Plant		4	lant Ch	aracter	ristics							Cattl	Ф
Number Perental Annual Cool Warm Native Intro- Invasive De Un De U										Foc	bQ	S	/er	Foo	
1. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.			Perennial			Warm	Native	Intro- duced	Invasive	De	5	De	٦ آ	De	5
2. 4. 4. 4. 5. 5. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	<u> </u>														
3. 4. 5. 6. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	2.														
4, 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20.	3.														
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 18. 19. 20.	4.														
8. 8. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9.	5.														
3. 9. <td< td=""><td>6.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	6.														
8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 16. 17. 18. 20.	7.														
9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20.	8.														
10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20.	9.														
11. 12. 13. 14. 16. 17. 18. 20.	10.														
13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	11.														
13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	12.														
14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	13.														
15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	14.														
16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	15.														
17. 18. 19. 20.	16.														
18. 19. 20.	17.														
19. 20.	<u>®</u>														
20.	19.														
	20.														

6

No. 58

Common Broomweed

Compass Plant

Curlycup Gumweed* Daisy Fleabane Dotted Gayfeather Engelmann Daisy*

		L/	
minoids	Alkali Sacaton*	Annual Threeawn	

Bermudagrass Big or Sand Bluestem

Broadleaved Uniola*

Broomsedge Bluestem Buffalograss

Eastern Gamagrass Canada Wildrye Fall Witchgrass*

Florida Paspalum* Hairy Grama

Lanceleaf Ragweed* Maximilian Sunflower

Mexican Hat

Indian Blanket*

Halfshrub Sundrop

Heath Aster

Giant Ragweed

Goat's Beard

Indiangrass Inland Saltgrass* Johnsongrass

-ittle Barley

Pepperweed Pitcher Sage Plains Yucca Prickly Pear Cactus

Old World Bluestem Perennial Threeawn Little Bluestem

Plains Lovegrass* Prairie Cordgrass* Prairie Sedge Purpletop

Snow-on-the-mountain Sumpweed*

Silverleaf Nightshade

Threadleaf Groundsel

Violet Wood Sorrel Western Ironweed Wax Goldenweed

Rescuegrass* Sand Dropseed

Sand Lovegrass Scribner Panicum

Western Ragweed White Snakeroot 92. Threadleaf Grounc 93. Violet Wood Sorrel 94. Wax Goldenweed 95. Western Inonweed 96. Western Ragweed 97. White Snakeroot 98. Yerrow 99. Yellow Puccoon

> Sideoats Grama Silver Bluestem

Splitbeard Bluestem Switchgrass Tall Dropseed Tall Fescue

Woodies

Texas Bluegrass Vine Mesquite*

Weeping Lovegrass* Western Wheatgrass

100. American Baautyberry*
101. American Elm
102. Black Loust*
103. Blackjack Oak
104. Buckbrush
105. Buttonbush
106. Chittamwood
107. Easten Cottonwood
108. Easten Redcedar
109. False Indigo
110. Fragrant Sumac
111. Greenbrier
111. Hackberry
113. Hawthorn*
114. Mesquite*
115. Oklahoma Blackberry
116. Osage Orange

Legumes
40. Catclaw Sensitivebriar
41. Groundplum
42. Hairy Vetch
43. Illinois Bundleflower
44. Leadplant
45. Frairie Acacia
46. Purple Prairie Clover
47. Roundhead Lespedeza
48. Scurfpea
49. Sericea Lespedeza
50. Sessile-leaved Tickclover
51. Slender Lespedeza
52. Slender Lespedeza
53. Tephrosia*
54. Trailing Wildbean
55. White Clover*
56. Wild Indigo
57. Woolly Loco
58. Yellow Neptune Roundhead Lespedeza

Sericea Lespedeza Sessile-leaved Tickclover Slender Dalea

Rough-leaf Dogwood

Antelopehorn Milkweed Annual Sunflower Forbs
59. Ann
60. Ant
61. Ash
62. Bas
63. Bitte
64. Blac
65. Blac
66. Bro

Soapberry Southern Blackhaw

Sand Sagebrush*

Sand Plum

Saltcedar*

Shinnery Oak*

Virginia Creeper Bitter Sneezeweed* Blackeyed Susan Blacksamson Broom Snakeweed*


* Not used on the National Contest.

National Rangeland Judging Contest Team Summary Score Card

NO:	ü			ij	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	Team Score: (Drop Lowest score)
STATE:	CHAPTER NAME:	ADVISOR NAME:	REGION:	TEAM MEMBERS:	NAME:	NAME:	NAME:	NAME:	Team Scor
NO:					SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	west score)
STATE:	CHAPTER NAME:	ADVISOR NAME:	REGION:	TEAM MEMBERS:	NAME:	NAME:	NAME:	NAME:	Team Score: (Drop Lowest

ECOLOGICAL SITES

An ecological site (Figure 1) is an area of land with a combination of soil, climatic, topographic, and natural vegetation features that set it apart significantly from adjacent areas. Ecological sites are expressed in terms of soil depth, topography, slope, plant production, and plant composition. Vegetation on a particular site will vary in composition and production from one geographical region to another and from year-to-year because of changes in precipitation. The following descriptions of plant composition represent the assumed pre-European settlement conditions under the influence of periodic fire followed by herbivory.



-8 -

LOAMY BOTTOMLAND. Alluvial soils that are subject to flooding and include riparian zones and overflow areas. The site is composed of deep productive soils subject to frequent or occasional overflow from the streams and runoff from hillsides. The site contains combinations of grasses, forbs, legumes, shrubs, and trees depending on the region. The soils are very productive and have the potential to produce large amounts of biomass. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Composition			cent Cour Toward S		
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	
70 %							
40							
5							
15							
0				0	0		
10% er							
20 %						0	
	70% 10 40 5 15 0	Composition Maximum Site 1 70% 10 40 5 15 0 10% er 20%	Composition Maximum Site 1 Site 2 70% 10 40 5 15 0 10% er a 0 20%	Composition Maximum Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 70% 10 40 5 15 0 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	Composition Maximum Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 1 70% 10 40 5 15 0 0 0 10% a 10% a 0 20%	Composition Maximum Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 1 Site 2	Composition Maximum Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 70% 10 40 5 15 0 0 0 0 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%

LOAMY PRAIRIE. Upland soils more than 20 inches deep, with a loamy texture and permeable subsoils. The site is composed of deep loamy upland soils with slopes that are gentle to steeply rolling. The site contains combinations of forbs, grasses, legumes, and shrubs. Root growth is unrestricted through the soil profile. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum		Observed Composition			rcent Cour Toward S	
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Grasses	84%						
big bluestem switchgrass	49						
Indiangrass J little bluestem	20						
eastern gamagrass Florida paspalum	5						
Canada wild rye dropseed blue grama sideoats grama Scribner panicum purpletop	10						
other natives invasives	0				0	0	0
Forbs and Legumes							
catclaw sensitivebries							
tickclover							
Illinois bundleflower prairie acacia							
slender lespedeza							
roundhead lespedeza							
compass plant							
halfshrub sundrop							
dotted gayfeather							
Pitcher sage							
perennial sunflower							
wild indigo prairie scurfpea							
Mexican hat							
milkweed							
goldenrod							
sagewort							
ragweed							
purple prairie clover							
dalea							
other natives invasives	0						0
mvasives	O .						
Woodies	1%						
sumac							
sand plum							
winged elm							
buckbrush other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	
1111431103	100%	100%	100%	100%			

DEEP SAVANNA. Upland soils greater than 20 inches in depth, with a coarse (sandy or gravelly) texture. The site is composed of soils that support mid and tall grasses mixed with post oak, blackjack oak, or shortleaf pine and other woody vegetation. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Compositio			rcent Cour Toward S	
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Grasses	70%						
big bluestem							
switchgrass	35						
Indiangrass							
little bluestem	15						
Canada wild rye							
sideoats grama							
purpletop							
dropseed	Ç						
Scribner panicum	20						
Florida paspalum							
blue grama							
other natives	0				0	0	0
invasives	0				0	0	0
Forbs and Legume	es 10%						
tickclover							
purple prairie clove							
catclaw sensitivebri	er						
leadplant							-
wild indigo							
scurfpea				-			
blacksamson perennial sunflower			•				-
Pitcher sage							
heath aster							
goldenrod							
sagewort							
ragweed							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
Woodies	20%						
post oak	== /*						
blackjack oak							
sumac							
greenbrier							
buckbrush							
redbud							
poison-ivy							
other natives invasives							
	0				0	0	0

SHALLOW SAVANNA. Upland soil less than 20 inches deep, with a coarse (sandy or gravelly) texture. The shallow savanna is composed of soils that support mid and tall grass. The oaks and other hardwoods and shortleaf pine have generally increased on deeper soils. Today, there are very few locations where open savanna conditions exist. Exceptions are along the rocky, very shallow areas where scattered oaks grow along rock crevices. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Compositio			rcent Cour Toward S	
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Grasses	70%						
big bluestem \	25						
switchgrass \int	25						
Indiangrass	15						
little bluestem							
Canada wild rye							
sideoats grama							
purpletop	30						
dropseed							
Scribner panicum							
blue grama							
other natives					0	0	0
invasives	0				0	0	0
Forbs and Legumes							
tickclover	10 /0						
purple prairie clover					-		
catclaw sensitivebrier							
leadplant							
wild indigo				-			
scurfpea							
blacksamson							
perennial sunflower							
Pitcher sage							
heath aster							
goldenrod							
sagewort							
western ragweed							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
Woodies							
post oak							
blackjack oak							
sumac							
greenbrier							
buckbrush							
redbud							
poison-ivy							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
	100%	100%	100%	100%			

DEEP SAND. Sandy, coarse textured, rapidly permeable, soils greater than 20 inches deep. This site is predominately a tall grass site with some woodies and a variety of forbs and legumes, occurring as gently undulating, low hummocky or steep rolling uplands. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

(Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Compositio		Percent Count Toward SI		
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Grasses	70%						
little bluestem	10						
big bluestem							
switchgrass	35						
Indiangrass							
sand lovegrass							
Canada wild rye							
Texas bluegrass							
sideoats grama							
blue grama							
tall dropseed	25					-	
sand dropseed							
purpletop							
Scribner panicum							
other natives	'						
invasives	0				0	0	0
Forbs and Legumes	20%						
prairie acacia							
groundplum							
tickclover							
roundhead lespedeza							
scurfpea							
catclaw sensitivebrie	r						
trailing wildbean							
western ragweed							
sagewort							
croton							
snow-on-the-mounta	in						
halfshrub sundrop							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
———————— Woodies							
sand sagebrush							
hackberry							
sand plum							
fragrant sumac							
other natives							
invasives	0	_	_	_	0	0	0
	100%	100%	100%	100%			

HARDLAND OR CLAYPAN PRAIRIE. Nearly level to gently sloping upland soils with fine or medium-textured topsoils and moderately deep impervious subsoils within 20 inches of the surface. This site is a mid and short grass dominant vegetation on gentle slopes. The site contains forbs, legumes, and grasses. Roots seldom penetrate the claypan. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Composition			Percent Counted Toward SI					
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3				
Grasses	85%										
sideoats grama	25										
blue grama	20	-		<u> </u>							
buffalograss	10										
dropseed	5										
big bluestem)	5										
Indiangrass }	3										
little bluestem	5										
western wheatgrass	1										
vine mesquite											
Scribner panicum) 15										
fall witchgrass											
other natives											
invasives	0				0	0	0				
Forbs and Legumes	14%										
leadplant											
tickclover											
purple prairie clover											
groundplum											
catclaw sensitivebrie	r										
Illinois bundleflower											
wild indigo											
milkweed											
sagewort											
dotted gayfeather											
prairie coneflower											
halfshrub sundrop											
goldenrod											
other natives											
invasives	0				0	0	0				
Woodies	1%										
mesquite											
other natives											
invasives	0				0	0	0				
	100%	100%	100%	100%							

SHALLOW OR ROCKY PRAIRIE. An upland soil less than 20 inches deep and often very rocky and rough but less than 20 percent slope. Gentle sloping to moderately steep shallow prairie soils. The site contains combinations of forbs, legumes, grasses, and some shrubs. Rock usually appears on the surface, often over 15 to 20 percent of the area, and occurs in the profile. The site occurs along ridges or ledges, often adjacent to loamy or clay prairie sites. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Composition		Pe	rcent Cour Toward S	
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Grasses	80%						
big bluestem	25						
switchgrass	23						
Indiangrass J							
little bluestem	20						
sideoats grama	20						
Canada wild rye							
dropseed hairy grama							
blue grama	15						
Scribner panicum							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
Forbs and Legum							
Illinois bundleflow							
catclaw sensitivebr	rier						
blacksampson							
leadplant							
tickclover							
purple prairie clove	er						
slender lespedeza							
wild indigo							
scurfpea dotted gayfeather							
compass plant							
halfshrub sundrop							
Pitcher sage							
heath aster							
sagewort							
Mexican hat							
milkweed							
other natives							
invasives	0				0	0	0
Woodies	1%						
sumac							
sand plum							
buckbrush							
other natives	^						
invasives	0				0	0	0

CLAY PRAIRIE. Upland clay soils greater than 20 inches deep, on rolling and broken topography with some gentle slopes. This site is moderately productive and generally has a midgrass or short grass aspect. The site contains forbs, grasses, and legumes. This site has little or no woody vegetation on it. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum					rcent Cour Toward S	ent Counted oward SI		
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3		
Grasses	85%								
little bluestem	25								
sideoats grama	25								
buffalograss									
blue grama	10								
big bluestem)									
Indiangrass }	10								
tall dropseed									
hairy grama	15								
other natives	13								
invasives	0				0	0	0		
Forbs and Legumo	es 14%								
purple prairie clove									
catclaw sensitivebri	er								
scurfpea									
Illinois bundleflowe	er								
tephrosia									
wild indigo									
groundplum									
sagewort				-					
blacksamson									
dotted gayfeather									
croton compass plant						-			
halfshrub sundrop						-			
blackeyed susan									
other natives									
invasives	0				0	0	0		
— — — — — — Woodies	1%								
buckbrush	1 /0								
other natives									
invasives	0				0	0	0		
	100% 100%	100%	100%						

BREAKS. Upland soils with more than 20 percent slopes and broken by canyons or gullies. This site is found on steep escarpments and canyon-like areas. The slopes may have occasional benches. The slope profile consists of rock outcrops and deep soils that occur between the rock outcrops. Runoff is high. The site contains forbs, grasses, legumes, shrubs, and scattered trees. The vegetation was formed under migratory grazing and heavy impact from bison and other native wildlife, with fires in both the dormant and growing season.

	Site Composition Maximum	(Observed Composition			Percent Counted Toward SI		
Vegetation		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	
Grasses	75%							
big bluestem								
Indiangrass	25							
switchgrass J								
little bluestem	10							
sideoats grama								
blue grama buffalograss								
hairy grama								
tall dropseed	40							
perennial threeawn								
Canada wild rye								
other natives	0				0	0	0	
invasives	0						0	
Forbs and Legume prairie acacia	s 20%							
groundplum								
Illinois bundleflower	r							
tickclover								
purple prairie clover								
scurfpea								
catclaw sensitivebrie	er							
trailing wildbean tephrosia								
perennial sunflower								
sagewort								
blacksamson								
dotted gayfeather								
halfshrub sundrop								
compass plant other natives								
invasives	0				0	0	0	
	-							
Woodies	5%							
hackberry								
sumac								
greenbrier								
fragrant sumac plum								
other natives								
invasives	0				0	0	0	
	100%	100%	100%	100%				

SIMILARITY INDEX

The **SIMILARITY INDEX** (**SI**) of the ecological site is dictated by many factors. Historically, herbivory by mammals and invertebrates above and below the soil surface, extensive fires, and periods of drought were major disturbances to the land. The kinds of plants that are present on an ecological site may be desirable or undesirable for a particular use. For example, if cattle have been grazed at a heavy stocking rate on a site for a long period of time, some of the plants that have increased over that period of time are not preferred by cattle. Plants preferred by cattle have decreased over this period of time. Any disturbance of the ecological site will affect the SI. Disturbances are a natural occurrence on all sites and are necessary to maintain ecological structure and function.

For contest purposes, the SI will be determined by comparing the present vegetation (species composition by weight at the end of the growing season in an ungrazed condition) to the presumed original dominant plants on that site historically and before European settlement. Specific ecological site descriptions can be obtained from the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS).

For example, if we were judging the SI for a Shallow Savanna Site, we would determine the composition of plant species. By convention, however, we can count no more than the percent allowable on the Ecological Site Guide. The SI is expressed as a percentage from 0 to 100%. Plants native to the site count in percent composition toward the SIMILARITY INDEX (SI). Plants native to the site but not specifically listed in categories are counted as "other."

Judging the SI of a Shallow Savanna Site:

Dominant Plants	Site Composition Maximum		Observed Composition			Percent Counted Toward SI		
		Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	
Grasses	70%							
big bluestem switchgrass }	25	10			10			
Indiangrass	15							
little bluestem		10			10			
Canada wild rye sideoats grama								
purpletop	20							
dropseed	30	15			15			
Scribner panicum blue grama								
other natives								
invasives		10			0			
Forbs & Legumes	= $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$							
tickclover								
purple prairie clover catclaw sensitivebrier		$\frac{2}{2}$			$\frac{2}{2}$			
leadplant								
wild indigo								
scurfpea blacksamson								
perennial sunflower								
Pitcher sage								
heath aster goldenrod								
sagewort								
western ragweed								
other natives invasives	0	20			0			
Woodies	20 %	5			_			
post oak blackjack oak		<u>5</u> 5			5			
sumac		2			2			
greenbrier		2			2			
buckbrush redbud		1			1			
poison-ivy								
other natives		12			5			
invasives	0	4			0			
	100%	100%			59%			

For this example the SI for this site is 59%. This is not a grazing value rating, only the Similarity Index of the present plant community to the presumed original plant community.

— 18 —

RESOURCE VALUE RATING

The **DESIRED PLANT COMMUNITY** is the Similarity Index (SI) that meets the land manager's objective(s). For example, a land manager may want parts of the management unit to have an SI of 30% to 40% to provide food (annual forbs and legumes) for bobwhite quail. Other parts of the management unit may need to be in an SI of 60% to 70% for bobwhite quail nesting cover and forage for cattle. Estimating the percent composition of grasses, grasslike plants, forbs, legumes, and shrubs/trees should be done at the end of the growing season. However, since the contest is held in the spring, the contestants must be able to visualize what the plants would look like at the end of the growing season. For contest purposes, the contribution of woody plants (shrubs and trees) will be evaluated as percent canopy cover.

Resource value ratings for cattle and bobwhite quail will be determined by comparing the habitat requirements of the animal to the plant community existing on the ecological site. Management guidelines will be used to move the SI to attain the objective(s).

Introduced plants, those that did not evolve with the native ecosystems, will count toward the resource value rating for domestic livestock and bobwhite quail, if their value rating is **desirable**. Introduced plants were not introduced to replace native plants, but to provide complementary forage for domestic livestock. Some exotic plant introductions threaten the integrity of native plant communities because they spread from where they were planted. Some examples of invasive plants that invade native plant communities in Oklahoma include bermudagrass, tall fescue, Old World bluestem, sericea lespedeza, Johnsongrass, musk thistle, scotch thistle, and salt cedar.

Some native plants have also become major problems because of removal of natural influences such as fire and are classified as invasive. These include eastern redcedar, ashe juniper, and mesquite.

BEEF CATTLE HABITAT EVALUATION

Introduction

Cattle can graze or browse many different kinds of plants (herbaceous and woody) depending on plant preference, plant availability, and nutritional status of the animal. Cattle grazing in native plant communities, rangeland or forestland, is compatible with land stewardship provided that it is done in a proper manner. Proper grazing management means balancing the needs of the plant community with the needs of the grazing animal. The elements of proper grazing management include maintaining the herd at or below carrying capacity and using prescribed grazing. Some rangelands and forestlands are more suited to managing for wildlife or other grazing or browsing animals than cattle because of the economic and environmental costs of changing the habitat to make it suitable for cattle production.

The purpose of this evaluation guide is to systematically evaluate habitat on the site for its value to cattle. The evaluation guide is designed to assist in inventorying and analyzing existing habitat conditions and to determine an overall habitat value, and identify the limiting factor for cattle. These values will indicate the overall quality of habitat that rangeland or forestland provides in its existing condition. The evaluation guide will also identify weak or missing elements (limiting factors) that are limiting cattle numbers so that management alternatives can then be developed to improve the habitat for cattle. In an actual situation, both economic and ecological considerations must be evaluated.

Background Information on the Habitat Evaluation Guide Components

Beef cattle restrict their home range to an area that provides their needs of food, water, and shelter, or that is controlled by fencing. The actual size and shape of the home range is controlled by how far the animal can travel and the quality of the various habitat elements within the home range. Actual home ranges are not marked by permanent boundaries (except for fencing) nor are they the same from season to season. Beef cattle prefer open areas that provide good air flow and thermal cover (either shade in warm weather or windbreaks during cold weather). However, they will use shrub or forested areas if that is all that is available or if environmental conditions are favorable.

Habitat Requirements

Forage factors: The diet of beef cattle consists of grasses, forbs, legumes, woody browse, and mast. Food preference is acquired through grazing experience and nutritional status of the animal. Beef cattle are opportunistic foragers and adapt to a wide variety of conditions. Because they are ruminants, they can digest lower quality forages than monogastrics. In general, diet requirements are higher for young grazing animals and declines as the animal matures except, in certain reproductive stages.

A. Forage Criteria

- A.1. Forage Condition for Cattle: Beef cattle prefer certain grasses, forbs, legumes, woody browse, and mast. These preferred plants decline in vigor and dominance over time if they are not properly grazed.
- A.2. Forage Diversity: Beef cattle will eat many different plants during the year. Grazing preferences change with season of the year and stage of plant growth. Having a variety of grasses, forbs, legumes, and woody plants available makes it more likely that the diet is properly balanced.

A.3. Forage Utilization: In general, diet quality is highest at the beginning of the growing season and declines as the season progresses because of plant maturity. However, forage quality is also related to forage utilization. As a plant is grazed from leaves to stem, quality declines. Thus overutilization of forage causes a decline in quality and intake. If herbaceous plants are lightly to moderately grazed and then rested to allow regrowth, the regrowth will be of higher quality than ungrazed plants. Utilization on cool season plants is judged on current growth during the spring. Utilization on warm season plants is judged on prior years growth before May 15 for contests held during the spring.

Distribution factors: Beef cattle move within their home range based on many interacting factors. The main factors include slope of the land, brush and tree cover, availability of water, wind direction, and shade or windbreaks. Cattle movements and grazing patterns can be especially damaging to the soil and vegetation depending on the extent and severity of disturbance by hoof action, trailing, rubbing, and grazing.

B. Distribution Criteria

- B.1. Forage Accessibility: Beef cattle prefer to graze on level ground. As the slope increases or the surface of the ground becomes rough from rocks, grazing use declines.
- B.2. Grazing Restraint: Beef cattle prefer to graze in open areas that allow easy movement and comfortable environmental conditions (e.g., summer conditions of air temperature, air movement, relatively low fly numbers). Increasing brush canopy cover tends to restrict movements, reduce air movement, and increase fly populations. Evaluate the brush cover at 6 feet and below.
- *B.3. Water:* Beef cattle prefer to graze around water if forage is available. They move away from water for thermal protection (shade summer, windbreak winter) or when forage becomes unavailable. They seldom will move over 2 miles to meet their forage requirements.

C. Site Integrity

C.1. Check for invasive plants on the ecological site.

Instructions For Completing The Beef Cattle Habitat Evaluation Form

General Instructions. An overall habitat quality value and an overall limiting factor for beef cattle can be calculated from the values assigned to each habitat requirement. A formula uses the requirement values to derive an overall habitat quality value. The overall limiting factor value is determined by selecting the lowest limiting factor value assigned to any of the requirements. These values represent the general quality of habitat and the factor that is limiting the beef cattle population within the home range.

The following procedures describe the method for inventorying existing habitat conditions, rating the habitat criteria and calculating the habitat quality and limiting factor values. The system is based primarily on the kinds, amounts, condition, and arrangement of plants.

Ratings. Ratings for the various habitat criteria range from 0 (poor) to 40 (excellent). The number of ratings per criteria depend on the number of variables that can be practically measured and levels of management that can be practically applied.

GUIDE TO MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR BEEF CATTLE

- **1. CONTINUE PRESENT MANAGEMENT** Use when the current management objective is met by the present condition of the site.
- **2. BEGIN A PLANNED GRAZING SYSTEM** Use when forage production and/or forage diversity is the limiting factor.
- **3. APPLY FORB OR GRASS CONTROL** Use when forage production and/or forage diversity is the limiting factor because of undesirable forbs or grasses.
- **4. APPLY WOODY PLANT CONTROL** Use when forage production, forage diversity, or grazing restraint is the limiting factor because of woody plants.
- **5. DECREASE STOCKING RATE FOR BEEF CATTLE** Use when forage utilization is the limiting factor because of overuse.
- **6. INCREASE STOCKING RATE FOR BEEF CATTLE** Use when forage utilization is the limiting factor because of lack of use.
- **7. CHANGE THE KIND OF GRAZING/BROWSING ANIMAL** Use when grazing accessibility or grazing restraint is the limiting factor because of terrain or woody cover.
- **8. DEVELOP WATER FOR BEEF CATTLE** Use when water is the limiting factor because of distance to water.
- 9. APPLY INVASIVE PLANT CONTROL Use when invasive plants are the limiting factor because of their presence on the site. Use to maintain the integrity of the ecological site when any invasive herbaceous or woody plant occurs. Invasive plants include locally exotic (e.g. eastern redcedar, etc.) or introduced plants (e.g. tall thistle, Old World bluestem, sericea lespedeza, etc.). Control may be in the form of prescribed fire, herbicide, biological, mechanical, or grazing/browsing. Often, combinations of the above treatments are required. Some invasive plants are difficult to control with existing technology. If more than one invasive plant occurs on the site, choose the plant with the lowest resource value rating.
- **10. PLANT ADAPTED FORAGE SPECIES** Use when forage production is the limiting factor and the Similarity Index is 10% or less. This usually occurs on land that has been farmed and not reseeded. Defer grazing until the Desired Plant Community is established. Control competitive plants and invasive species with fire, grazing, or herbicide.

Note: Distance to water will be given.

BEEF CATTLE HABITAT EVALUATION FORM

Habitat Requirements: Essential habitat components needed for survival and propagation of the species. For beef cattle, evaluate (A) forage and (B) distribution factors.

A. Forage Components: Forage of annual and perennial grass, forbs, legumes, and woody plants.

A. Forage	Components: For	rage of annual a	and perennial grass,	forbs, legumes, and	l woody	plant	s.			
				Circ	cle Corr	ect V	alue			
					Site					
					1	2	3			
1.	Forage Product desirable food pr		ndant (composition?	by weight) are the						
	Site has 76-1009	by weight of o	desirable forage pla	ints for beef cattle	40	40	40			
	Site has 51-75%	30	30	30						
	Site has 26-50%	<u>20</u>	20	20						
	Site has 0-25% b	y weight of des	sirable forage plants	s for beef cattle	<u>10</u>	10	10			
2.	2. Forage Diversity - How diverse is the desirable food producing plant community? (plant types = grasses, forbs, legumes, and woodies.)									
	Food plants repr	esented by 4 of	the 4 major plant to	vpes	40	40	40			
		•	the 4 major plant ty	, 1	30	30	30			
		-	the 4 major plant ty	· -			20			
		-	the 4 major plant ty		10	10	10			
3.	Forage Utilization	_	•	(marked) utilization	n plants?					
		Tallgrass	Midgrass	Shortgrass						
	Light Use	(>8")	(>5")	(>4")	<u>30</u>	30	<u>30</u>			
	Moderate Use	(>5-8")	(4-5")	(3-4")	<u>40</u>		<u>40</u>			
	Heavy Use	(4-5")	(2-3")	(1-2")	<u>20</u>	20	<u>20</u>			
	Severe Use	(<4")	(<2")	(<1")	<u>10</u>	10	<u>10</u>			
Lowest scor	re of 3 rated criteria	a = Limiting Fa	ctor for Forage Fac	tors						

В.	Distribution	Components	- Ph	ysical	factors	that	limit	the	grazing	animal	
----	--------------	------------	------	--------	---------	------	-------	-----	---------	--------	--

	Circle Co		Value
	1	Site 2	3
1. Grazing Accessibility - How accessible are the forage plants to grazing	animals?	4	J
Slope less than 5%	_40_	40	40
Slope 5-10% and smooth	35	35	35
Slope 5-10% and rough (exposed surface rock)	<u>25</u>	25	25
Slope 11-15% and smooth	30	30	30
Slope 11-15% and rough (exposed surface rock)	<u>20</u>	20	20
Slope greater than 15% and smooth	<u>15</u>	_15	15
Slope greater than 15% and rough (exposed surface rock)	<u>10</u>	10	10
2. Grazing Restraint - How much woody cover is there below 6 feet?			
Brush canopy cover less than 30%	<u>40</u>	40	40
Brush canopy cover 31-50%	<u>30</u>	30	30
Brush canopy cover 51-80%	<u>20</u>	20	20
Brush canopy cover greater than 80%	<u>10</u>	10	10
3. Water - How far is water from the grazing site? (Given)			
Distance less than or equal to 1/2 mile	<u>40</u>	40	40
Distance greater than 1/2 up to 1 mile	<u>30</u>	_30	30
Distance greater than 1 up to 1 1/2 miles	<u>20</u>	20	20
Distance greater than 1 1/2 up to 2 miles	<u>10</u>	10	10
Distance greater than 2 miles or not available in the grazing unit	_0	_0_	0
Lowest score of 3 rated criteria for Distribution Factors			
C. Site Integrity - Invasive plants.			
1. Are invasive plants present?			
No – does not exceed 5%	<u>40</u>	40	40
Yes – resource value rating desirable	<u>20</u>	20	20
Yes – resource value rating undesirable	10	10	10
Lowest score of 1 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Site Integrity			
Site 1. Summary			
(A) Forage (B) Distribution (C) Site			
Components Components Integrity			
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value) Excellent Good Fair Poor (31 to 40) (21 to 30) (11 to 20) (<11)			
(21 10 70) (21 10 20) (11 10 20) (11)			

Site 2. Summary
(A) Forage (B) Distribution (C) Site
Components Components Integrity
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value)
Excellent Good Fair Poor Poor
(31 to 40) $(21 to 30)$ $(11 to 20)$ (<11)
Site 3. Summary
(A) Forage (B) Distribution (C) Site
Components Components Integrity
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value)
Excellent Good Fair Poor Poor
(31 to 40) $(21 to 30)$ $(11 to 20)$ (<11)

BOBWHITE QUAIL HABITAT EVALUATION

Introduction

The Northern Bobwhite Quail (*Colinus virginianus*) is the most well known and popular upland game bird in Oklahoma. The bobwhite occurs statewide and its numbers are directly related to land use and management practices. The main influence on Oklahoma's landscape and subsequently bobwhite quail habitat has been farming. Farming has directly eliminated bobwhite quail habitat. In addition, plowing rangeland and replacing it with introduced plants such as bermudagrass, Old World bluestems, fescue, or other introduced plants has greatly reduced the quality of the bobwhite's habitat. The major influences on rangeland, which is the bobwhite's natural habitat, are grazing and fire. Cattle grazing at light stocking rates with spot grazing is beneficial, and in many areas necessary to maintain high quality bobwhite quail habitat on clay or loam soils. In general, sandy soils produce better quail habitat than clay or loam soils. Much of the state's land provides habitat for the bobwhite quail, however, the quality of habitat varies from poor to excellent depending on land use and site factors. Weather and predators also influence bobwhite quail populations.

The purpose of this appraisal guide is to provide a tool for systematically evaluating suitability of any tract of land for bobwhite quail. The guide is designed to inventory and analyze existing habitat conditions and to determine an overall habitat value and a limiting factor value for bobwhite quail habitat. These values will indicate the overall quality that rangeland or forestland provides in its existing condition. Also, these values will allow the user to identify weak or missing elements that are limiting quail habitat so that management alternatives can be developed to rectify those needs.

This appraisal guide allows the user to appraise habitat quality on all lands. The user must identify a conceptual home range and evaluate the habitat elements that are required by the bobwhite within its home range. The guide is based on the premise that habitat elements providing the requirements for a species occur within the home range in various amounts, kinds, conditions, and arrangements. Appraisal of the conceptual home range is based upon the measurement of these variables within the home range.

Background Information on the Habitat Evaluation Guide Components

The bobwhite quail restricts its activities to a home range that varies in size depending on the kind, amount, condition, and interspersion of the required habitat components. The size of this area, within limits, is approximately the same for all individuals within the species. Within this area, or actual home range, must be found all the requirements for the animal's livelihood. The actual size and shape of the home range is determined by the inherent limits of how far the animal can travel and the quality of various habitat elements within the home range. Actual home ranges are not marked by permanent boundaries nor are they the same from year to year or season to season. A conceptual home range sets fixed boundaries which approximate the ordinary limits of movement for a species and provides a convenient area of planning within which habitat elements can be measured.

The bobwhite is a species of diverse native plant communities and a mixture of early (annual forbs and woody shrubs) and late stages (perennial tall grasses) of plant succession resulting from some type of disturbance to the plant community or on sites that inherently have those characteristics (i.e. sandy sites). The bobwhite is most abundant where grasses, forbs, and woody plants are closely interspersed.

Home Range and Carrying Capacity

The size and shapes of the bobwhite's home range varies according to the quality of habitat within the home range. Home range seldom exceeds 80 acres and averages between 20 and 40 acres. An individual quail covey can occupy as little as four acres, however, the average density on intensively managed areas is one covey per 15 acres. Carrying capacity for quail rarely exceeds one bird per acre averaged over several years. However, some birds may move over 30 miles during the fall dispersal.

Habitat Requirements

Food: The diet of adult bobwhite quail consists of seeds and fruits of cultivated crops, wild herbaceous plants, or woody plants. Seeds are eaten throughout the year. Insects are high in protein and are eaten during the spring, summer, and fall, especially by adult females. Because of their high dietary protein requirement, insects are the primary food for quail broods during their first few weeks of life.

Food Criteria

Food Quantity: A single adult bobwhite quail consumes an average of 0.05 pounds of food per day. Applying that consumption rate to the average size covey (14.3 birds) results in a daily consumption rate of 0.72 pounds per covey per day. Enough food must be produced in the fall to last through the winter until the critical month of March. This means that at least 130 pounds of food (0.72 pounds per covey per day times 182 days = 131 pounds) has to be produced and available for this period. Generally this amount can be produced easily in 0.25 acre food plots if soil fertility and weather conditions are ideal. However, naturally occurring foods do not always produce this amount and an area greater than 0.25 acres may be required to provide adequate amounts of food. Forty to 60 percent of the bobwhite's home range should be rangeland in a stage of early plant succession (dominated by annual forbs). By applying 40 percent to the minimum quail home range size limit (15 acres), 6 acres or more of naturally occurring forbs would be needed to optimize the bobwhite's food requirements.

Food Variety: Over 100 different quail food plants have been recorded in the diets of Oklahoma quail. The importance of variety of foods to animal populations has been well documented. Variety provides fulfillment of nutritional requirements, increases selectivity, insures more stable production, and distributes the period of use.

Food Accessibility: Bobwhites secure most of their food on the ground or from the layer of leaves and stems on the soil surface. If seeds are to be found by quail, they must be seen on bare ground or in litter that is sparse and can be moved easily. If seeds drop on a thick mat of stems and leaves, they fall to the bottom and become inaccessible to quail. Bobwhite quail require approximately 25% or more bare ground. Sandy soils (coarse textured) provide better interspersion of plant canopies and bare ground than clay soils (fine textured).

Nesting Cover: Bobwhites build their nests on the ground in grassy areas. The nest is usually located in dead warm-season grass clumps that were left from the previous growing season. Little bluestem and other grasses of similar growth habit make up the majority of nest sites. Weeping lovegrass is also used for nesting cover when available close to other habitat requirements. Broomsedge bluestem is a primary nesting cover throughout much of the eastern part of the state. Warm-season native short grasses such as buffalograss, blue and hairy grama, and introduced grasses are seldom used for nesting. Cool-season grasses such as tall fescue, smooth brome, tall wheatgrass, annual bromes, and wild ryes are seldom used for nesting.

Nesting Cover Criteria

Nesting Cover Quantity: The optimum percentage of grassland is 30 to 40 percent within the bob-white's home range. Taking the lowest percentage (30 percent) and applying it to the minimum home range size (15 acres) suggests 4.5 acres or more of grassland is needed to optimize the nesting cover.

Nesting Cover Use: Bobwhites begin nesting in Oklahoma after covey break up in April. Tall and mid-height warm season grasses from the previous season (last year's growth) must be available in the proper condition for nesting at that time. Height of the grasses must be tall enough (6 to 8 inches) to conceal quail, thus requiring light to moderate use of the grasses by livestock.

Brood Habitat: Insect availability for food is required for nesting hens and quail broods. Open areas of herbaceous plants or grain and seed crops are used for feeding. Areas that have been burned produce green forage early, will attract high concentrations of insects, and are often called "bugging grounds."

Brood Habitat Criteria

Brood Habitat Quantity: Thirty to 40 percent of the bobwhite's home range should be open grassy areas and 40 to 60 percent food producing plants such as forbs or planted crops. Applying the common percentage (40 percent) to the minimum home range size limit, results in a six acre or larger area, of either native grasses, native forbs, or crops for optimum brood habitat value.

Forage Accessibility: Quail chicks prefer brood areas to be open enough to permit travel. Dense, tangled vegetation presents obstacles for the movement of young chicks and restricts food accessibility.

Protective, Screening, and Loafing Cover: Protective cover is used for loafing and is necessary for escaping from predators. Low-growing woody plants and upright growing forbs are used for this type of cover because they provide dense overhead screening and persist during cold weather when thermal protection is most needed.

Protective Cover Criteria

Protective Cover Quantity: Five to 20 percent of the bobwhite's home range should be brush or shrub cover. The least percentage (5 percent) of the minimum home range size limit (15 acres) requires 0.75 acres or more to optimize the quantity of low growing woody plants for protection.

Protective Cover Composition: Living, low-growing woody plants such as plums, blackberries, sumacs, and buckbrush provide the best protection because they are persistent over a number of years. Brush piles are more temporary protection although they last longer than dense herbaceous plants such as common broomweed, sunflower, or snow-on-the-mountain.

Overhead Protection: Protective cover should completely conceal quail from aerial predators. Protective cover should be thick, several feet above the ground, but relatively open at ground level to permit quail movement.

Interspersion: It is assumed that protective cover is distributed in a patchwork fashion throughout the home range.

Water Requirements

Surface water is not essential for bobwhites although it may be used if provided. Water needs are usually met by succulent herbs, insects, dew, and snow. Also, metabolic water is produced during digestion and provides an additional source of water. Surface water such as ponds, creeks, and overflow from windmills produce micro-habitats which can provide green, succulent vegetation and insects during dry or unfavorable weather conditions.

General Instructions. For bobwhite quail an overall habitat quality value and an overall limiting factor can be calculated from the values assigned to each habitat requirement. A formula has been developed that uses the requirement values to derive an overall habitat quality value for the species. The overall limiting factor value is determined by selecting the lowest limiting factor value assigned to any of the requirements. These values represent the general quality of habitat and the factor that is limiting the bobwhite quail population within the home range.

The following procedures describe the method for inventorying existing habitat conditions, rating the habitat criteria and calculating the habitat quality and limiting factor values. The system is based primarily on the kinds, amounts, condition, and arrangement of plants.

Ratings. Ratings for the various habitat criteria range from 0 (poor) to 40 (excellent). The number of ratings per criteria depend on the number of variables that can be practically measured and levels of management that can be practically applied.

Instructions for Completing the Bobwhite Quail Habitat Evaluation Form

Bobwhite Quail Home Range: 15 to 80 Acres

Habitat Requirements: Nesting cover, brood habitat, protective cover, food, and interspersion

A. Nesting Cover Criteria

A.1. Nesting Cover Quantity. Nesting cover quantity is defined as any open grassy area where at least 10 percent of the plant community is composed of perennial native warm-season bunch grasses such as little bluestem. Nesting cover **does not** include cool-season grasses (e.g., bromes, fescue, and wild ryes); single-stemmed grasses (e.g., uniolas); tall thick stemmed grasses (e.g., Johnsongrass); short warm season grasses (e.g., buffalograss, blue grama, vine mesquite, and bermuda); annual grasses (e.g., crabgrass and sprangletops), or introduced plants.

NOTE: Quail nest in the dead growth of preferred grasses left from the previous growing season. Areas will not qualify as nesting cover unless at least some of the previous season's growth of preferred grass species remain before nesting (April 1 to June 30).

The same area that provides food may also qualify for nesting cover, provided that the criteria for each requirement are met.

A.2. Nesting Cover Height (loss by grazing, mowing, or burning). Rated for the nesting season (April 1 to June 30) and last year's growth.

Light or none — less than 25% (by weight) of the years growth removed. Only part of the tops of grasses and other plants used (more than 8 inches stubble height).

Moderate -26% to 50% (by weight) of the years growth removed (>5 to 8 inches stubble height for tall grasses).

Heavy — 51% to 75% (by weight) of the years growth is removed (4 to 5 inches stubble height for tall grasses).

Severe — more than 75% (by weight) of the years growth is removed (less than 4 inches for tall grasses).

B. Brood Habitat Criteria

- **B.1. Brood Habitat Quantity.** Brood habitat is defined as any area that provides lush green forage and associated insects during the time of brood rearing (June 30 to September 1). These are generally open areas consisting of the new growth of warm-season forbs, grasses or crops.
- **B.2.** Screening Cover. Screening cover is defined as the canopy provided by warm season herbaceous plants (forbs, grasses, or crops) formed at a height above which the brood is foraging (6 inches).
- **B.3. Forage Accessibility.** Open conditions beneath indicate that the brood can move freely beneath the herbaceous canopy, or that the vegetation at less than 6 inches contains continuous trails or openings throughout the plant community.
- Moderately open conditions indicate that the brood can move through the near-ground vegetation only with some difficulty, or the vegetation at less than 6 inches contains trails or openings but are not continuous throughout the plant community.

Rank vegetative growth indicates that the brood can move through the near-ground vegetation only with a great deal of difficulty, or the vegetation at less than 6 inches is matted and thick with few or no trails or openings.

NOTE: Interpolations can be made if existing conditions do not neatly fit the criteria.

C. Protective Cover Criteria

- **C.1. Protective Cover Quantity.** Protective cover quality is defined as any woody plants or brush piles arranged densely enough to form a canopy which provides protection from the elements and predators.
- **C.2. Protective Cover Composition.** *Living woody plants* include live vascular plants whose woody stems are persistent throughout the winter. Examples include trees with low limbs, half cut trees, shrub thickets, and brambles. These clumps of woody plants are also called mottes.
- *Brush piles* may be included only if the pile forms overhead protection and the ground beneath the canopy is open to movement through, out of, and into the pile. Dozed timber or piles of dead trees made without creating an open condition beneath will not qualify for this criteria.
- **C.3. Canopy Closure.** Canopy closure should be measured by selecting a representative area of protective cover. This may be a single low-growing tree or shrub, but is usually a thicket or clump of woody plants. All measurements should be made at a height of 2 to 3 feet. Canopy closures above that height do not provide adequate protection from predators or inclement winter weather. Measurements will be made on representative flagged plants.

D. Food Criteria

- **D.1. Food Production Potential.** Determine the percentage of the site that is in a food producing plant community. A food producing plant community is one that contains any of the desirable quail food plants listed in the Plant List.
- **D.2. Food Abundance.** Food is defined as the seeds of any of the desirable quail food plants (DQFP) listed in the Plant List.

Very abundant is defined as the DQFP making up more than 50 percent by weight of the plant community.

Abundant is defined as the DQFP making up 30 to 50 percent by weight of the plant community.

Moderately abundant is defined as the DQFP making up 10 to 29 percent by weight of the plant community.

Sparse is defined as the DQFP making up less than 10 percent of the plant community.

- **D.3. Food Plant Diversity.** The major food groupings; grasses, forbs, legumes, and woodies, are provided by species in the Plant List. Food plants are represented in this criteria when:
 - 1. It is not difficult to observe the presence of important food plants listed in the Plant List in a casual examination of the home range, and
 - 2. The abundance of those plants appears great enough to contribute to quail food needs.
- **D.4. Food Availability.** *Light plant litter* is defined as less than 50 percent coverage of the soil surface with dead leaves and stems from the previous season's growth.
- *Moderately light plant litter* is defined as 51 to 70 percent coverage of the soil surface with dead leaves and stems from the previous season's growth.
- Moderately heavy plant litter is defined as 71 to 90 percent coverage of the soil surface with dead leaves and stems from the previous season's growth.
- *Heavy plant litter* is defined as more than 91 percent coverage of the soil surface with dead leaves and stems from the previous season's growth.

E. Site Integrity

E.1. Check for invasive plants on the ecological site.

GUIDE TO MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR BOBWHITE QUAIL

- **1. CONTINUE PRESENT MANAGEMENT** Use when the current management objective is met by the present conditions of the site.
- 2. IMPROVE OR DEVELOP NESTING COVER Use when nesting cover quantity or height is the limiting factor. Do not burn, mow, intensively graze, or otherwise remove needed nesting cover (previous years growth) for quail (needed from April through July). Protect nesting cover from severe or heavy grazing. Protect nesting cover from burning by choosing an appropriate fire prescription. Note that fires seldom completely burn an area, even in wildfire situations.
- **3. IMPROVE OR DEVELOP BROOD HABITAT** Use when brood habitat quantity, screening cover, or accessibility is the limiting factor. Spot or intensively graze, with prescribed fire to stimulate a canopy of tall annual forbs (umbrella-like growth form) that produce open and bare conditions on the ground. The edges of protective cover may also provide this element.
- **4. IMPROVE OR DEVELOP PROTECTIVE COVER** Use when protective cover quantity, composition, or canopy is the limiting factor. Increase woody plants such as sand plum, chittamwood, roughleaf dogwood, sumac, fragrant sumac, or other low growing shrubs or small trees if they are native to the site.
- **5. IMPROVE OR DEVELOP FOOD** Use when food quantity, abundance, or diversity is the limiting factor. Provide a Similarity Index (SI) that contains seed producing forbs and grasses. This can be accomplished by discing native plant communities that are not shaded by woody plants or small burns (< 10 acres) followed by spot grazing by cattle to encourage the development of annual forbs. Cultivated food plots and/or feeders are expensive, may concentrate or attract predators, may increase disease problems, may reduce reproductive success, and do not provide the balanced diet that is afforded by a diversity of native plant community.
- **6. INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF BARE GROUND** Use when food accessibility is the limiting factor. Use heavy spot or patch grazing combined with prescribed fire and/or discing to increase bare ground.
- 7. APPLY INVASIVE PLANT CONTROL Use when invasive plants are the limiting factor. Invasive plants include locally exotic (e.g., eastern redcedar, etc.) or introduced plants (e.g., tall fescue, Old World bluestem, sericea lespedeza, etc.). Control may be in the form of prescribed fire, herbicide, mechanical, biological, or grazing/browsing. Some invasive plants are difficult to control with existing technology. If more than one plant occurs on the site, choose the plant with the lowest resource value rating.

BOBWHITE QUAIL HABITAT EVALUATION FORM

Habitat Requirements: Essential habitat components needed for survival and propagation of the species. For bobwhite quail these components include (A) nesting cover, (B) brood habitat, (C) protective cover, and (D) food.

A. Nesting Cover Components: Warm-season bunchgrasses. Last year's growth must be available during nesting season (April 1 to July 30).

Rating Criteria for Nesting Cover:

		Circle Co	orrect Value
			Site
		1	2 3
1.	Nesting Cover Quantity - How much nesting cover is there?		
	30 percent or more of home range is a plant community with preferred grass	es <u>40</u>	40 40
	20 to 30 percent of home range is a plant community with preferred grasses	<u>30</u>	<u>30 30</u>
	10 to 20 percent of home range is a plant community with preferred grasses	<u>20</u>	<u>20 20</u>
	1 to 10 percent of home range is a plant community with preferred grasses	<u>10</u>	<u>10 10</u>
	Home range does not have plant community with preferred grasses	_0	0 0
2.	Nesting Cover Height - How tall is the nesting cover?*		
	Degree of utilization Light or None (>8")	<u>40</u>	40 40
	Degree of utilization Moderate (>5-8")	<u>30</u>	<u>30 30</u>
	Degree of utilization Heavy (4-5")	<u>10</u>	<u>10 10</u>
	Degree of utilization Severe (<4") (check the appropriate box)	_0	0 0
	* Nesting cover that is burned or mowed during nesting season will be treated the same as severe utilization caused by grazing. Lowest score of 2 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Nesting Cover		

B. Brood Habitat Components: Native herbaceous plants and introduced crops.

Rating Criteria for Brood Habitat:

		Circle Correct V		
		Site		
		1	2	3
1.	Brood Habitat Quantity - How much brood habitat is there?			
	40 percent or more of home range is plant community with			
	warm season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	_40	40	40
	30 to 40 percent of home range is plant community with			
	warm-season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	<u>30</u>	30	30
	20 to 30 percent of home range is plant community with			
	warm-season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	_20	20	20
	10 to 20 percent of home range is plant community with			
	warm-season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	<u>10</u>	10	10

	I to 10 percent of home range is plant community with			
	warm-season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	5	5	5
	None of the home range is plant community with			
	warm-season grasses, forbs, shrubs, or crops	_0	_0_	0
2.	Screening Cover - How much herbaceous screening cover is there?			
	Canopy cover 50 percent or greater above height of 6 inches	_40	40	40
	Canopy cover 30 to 50 percent above height of 6 inches	_30	30	30
	Canopy cover 10 to 30 percent above height of 6 inches	_20	20	20
	Canopy cover 1 to 10 percent above height of 6 inches	5	5	5
	No canopy cover above height of 6 inches	_0	_0_	0
3.	Screening Cover Accessibility - Of the cover rated in 2, how open is the her	baceous c	over?	
	Open condition below a height of 6 inches	_40	40	40
	Moderately open condition below a height of 6 inches	20	20	20
	Closed or rank condition below a height of 6 inches	<u></u>	5	5
	Lowest score of 3 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Brood Habitat			
1.	Protective Cover Components: Escape and loafing cover made up of native growing trees, or artificially created brush piles interspersed throughout the here. Protective Cover Quantity - How much protective cover is there?	-		s, 10w
	Greater than 20 percent or more of site is composed of woody plants or brush piles	_40	40	40
	10 to 20 percent of site is composed of woody plants or brush piles	<u>30</u>	30	30
	5 to 9 percent of site is composed of woody plants of brush piles	<u></u>	10	10
	Less than 5 percent of site is composed of woody plants Less than 5 percent of site is composed of woody plants	0	0	0
2.	Protective Cover Composition - Of the cover rated in 1, what makes up the	protective	e cove	er?
	Living woody shrubs or low growing trees	<u>40</u>	40	40
	Artificial cover including brush piles or shelters	20	20	20
	Larger trees without extensive low growing stems		5	5
	No woody plants within the home range		0	0
3.	Protective Cover Canopy - Of the cover rated in 1, how much canopy cover	is there?		
	80 percent or greater canopy cover at 2 to 3 feet high	_40	40	40
	60 to 80 percent canopy cover at 2 to 3 feet high	30	30	30
	40 to 60 percent canopy cover at 2 to 3 feet high	20	20	20
	20 to 40 percent canopy cover at 2 to 3 feet high	<u>10</u>	10	10
	Less than 20 percent canopy cover at 2 to 3 feet high		5	5
	Lowest score of 3 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Protective Cover			

D. Food Components: Seeds of native herbaceous or woody plants.

		Circle C	orrect Site	Value
		1	2	3
Ra	ting Criteria for Food:			
1.	Food Production Potential - How much food production potential is there? 40 percent or more of site is a food producing plant community 30 to 40 percent of site is a food producing plant community 20 to 30 percent of site is a food producing plant community 10 to 20 percent of site is a food producing plant community 1 to 10 percent of site is a food producing plant community None of site is a food producing plant community		40 30 20 10 5	40 30 20 10 5 0
2.	Food Abundance - How abundant (composition by weight) are the desirable food producing plants?Food plants are very abundant and comprise 50 percent or more			
	of plants are very abundant and comprise 50 percent of more of plants in food producing area Food plants are abundant and comprise 30 to 50 percent of plants	_40	40	40
	in food producing area Food plants are moderately abundant and comprise 10 to 30 percent	_30_	30	30
	of plants are moderately abundant and comprise 10 to 30 percent of plants in food producing area Food plants are sparse and comprise 1 to 10 percent of plants	_20	20	20
	in food producing area	_10	_10	10
	Food plants do not occur within home range	0	0	0
3.	Food Diversity - How many food groups with desirable plants are there? (forbs, legumes, grasses and woody plants)	40	_40	40
	Food plants represented by all 4 of the major food groups Food plants represented by 3 of the 4 major food groups	<u>40</u> <u>30</u>	30	30
	Food plants represented by 2 of the 4 major food groups	<u></u>	10	10
	Food plants represented by 1 of the 4 major food groups	5	_5	5
4.	Food Availability - How much bare ground is there?	40	40	40
	50 percent or more of soil surface is bare 30 to 49 percent of soil surface is bare	<u>40</u> _30	<u>40</u> <u>30</u>	<u>40</u> 30
	10 to 29 percent of soil surface is bare	_ <u></u>	10	<u> </u>
	Less than 10 percent of soil surface is bare		5	5
	Lowest score of 4 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Food			

E. Site Integrity: Invasive Plants		
 Are invasive plants present? No – does not exceed 5% Yes – resource value rating desirable Yes – resource value rating undesirable Lowest score of 1 rated criteria = Limiting Factor for Site I 	integrity 40	20 20
Site 1. Summary		
(A) Nesting Cover (B) Brood Habitat (C) Protective Co	over (D) Food (E)	Site Integrity
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value)		
Excellent Good Fair Poor		
(31 to 40) (21 to 30) (11 to 20) (<11)		
Site 2. Summary (A) Nesting Cover (B) Brood Habitat (C) Protective Co	over (D) Food (E)	Site Integrity
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value) Excellent Good Fair Poor (31 to 40) (21 to 30) (11 to 20) (<11)		
Site 3. Summary (A) Nesting Cover (B) Brood Habitat (C) Protective Co	over (D) Food (E)	Site Integrity
Habitat Rating Based on the Limiting Factor (lowest value)		
Excellent Good Fair Poor		
(31 to 40) $(21 to 30)$ $(11 to 20)$ (<11)		

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Abiotic component — Basic inorganic and organic compounds of the environment.

Annual plant — A plant that completes its life cycle in one year.

Biennial Plant — Life cycle completed in two years.

Biotic component — Living organisms.

Biological diversity — The richness, abundance, and variability of the native plant and animal species and communities and the ecological processes that link them with one another and with soil, air, and water. Human quality of life and survival depend on the conservation of biological diversity.

Carrying capacity — The number of animals that a given area of land can sustain over a long period of time without damage to the environment.

Complementary forage — A forage (usually introduced) that is planted to make up for deficiencies in the main forage base.

Cool season plant — A plant that begins its growing season in the fall and ends in the spring (C3 photosynthetic pathway).

Desirable — Provides positive functions and values throughout most of its life cycle.

Disturbance — Removal of biomass, or physical movement of soil.

Ecological principle — Recognitions of the mutual relationships among organisms and between the organisms and their environment.

Ecosystem — The basic functional unit in ecology, it includes both organisms (biotic community) and abiotic environment, each influencing the properties of the other. Both are necessary for maintenance of life as we have it on the earth.

Energy flow — Movement of energy from one trophic level (e.g. green plants) to another (e.g. white-tailed deer).

Extirpation — Locally extinct.

Forb — A herbaceous plant that has broad leaves. Flowers are usually large, colored, and showy.

Graminoid — A grasslike herbaceous plant that resembles grass but generally has solid stems without elongated internodes. Leaf veins are parallel, but the leaves are three-ranked. Stems are often triangular, and the flowers are small and inconspicuous.

Grass — A herbaceous plant that has both hollow and solid stems with nodes. Leaves are two-ranked and have parallel veins, which are typical of monocots. Flowers are small and inconspicuous.

Habitat evaluation guide — A systematic approach to evaluating habitat.

Introduced plant — A plant that has been brought in from another region. Usually from overseas and a weed in an ecological sense.

Invasive plant — A plant that was not native to the ecological site under pre-European settlement conditions. A weed in an ecological sense.

Landscape — An expanse of land that can be viewed from one vantage point.

Land stewardship — Taking care of the land including all of its components; soil, plants, animals, water, and air.

Limiting factor — The habitat component that limits the population from becoming larger.

Mast — Fruits from trees and shrubs usually referred to as hard mast such as acorns or soft mast such as persimmons.

Mid-grass — Generally plants one to three feet tall at maturity.

Monogastric — A mammal with a simple stomach, such as a coyote.

Motte — A grouping of woody plants.

Native plant — A plant that naturally occurred on the site under pre-European settlement conditions.

Niche — An organism's place and function in the environment.

Nutrient cycling — The movement of nutrients through biotic and abiotic components of the ecosystem.

Perennial plant — A plant that lives for more than one year.

Plant community — An assemblage of plants.

Prescribed fire — A fire burning under a prescribed set of weather (air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed) and fuel conditions (fuel moisture, fuel load, fuel architecture).

Prescribed grazing — Animals grazing under a prescribed stocking rate, density (for rotational grazing), and time interval.

Riparian zone — A corridor along a stream with distinct soils and vegetation. Historical riparian vegetation may be prairie, shrubland, or forest.

Ruminant — A mammal with a compartmentalized stomach (more than one compartment) such as bison or cattle.

Savanna — A native grassland characterized by scattered trees and mottes.

Short-grass — Generally plants less than one foot tall at maturity.

Shrub — A woody plant with secondary growth originating from aerial stems which live throughout the year, although they may be dormant part of the time. Leaves are often broad and net veined. Flowers are often showy.

Tall-grass — Generally plants more than three feet tall at maturity.

Warm season plant — A plant that begins its growing season in the spring and ends in the fall (C4 photosynthetic pathway).

Undesirable — May provide short-term functions and values, but overall not a plant suited for the intended purpose.

RANGELAND CONTEST EXAMPLE

The contestant observes the Ecological Site and determines that it is Loamy Prairie.

Using the Ecological Site Guide for Loamy Prairie, the contestant determines the percent plant composition by weight and marks the left-hand side of the card. In this example, the Similarity Index is 60%.

The contestant uses the Bobwhite Quail Evaluation Guide to rate the Ecological Site for Bobwhite Quail and marks the left-hand side of the card.

The contestant uses the Beef Cattle Habitat Evaluation Guide to rate the Ecological Site for Beef Cattle and marks the left-hand side of the card.

1. The present conditions for **Bobwhite Quail** are:

A1 = 10	A2=30	B1 = 20	B2 = 40	B3 = 40	C1 = 40
C2= 40	C3 = 40	D1 = 40	D2 = 40	D3 = 30	D4 = 10
E1 = 40.					

Taking the lowest value of A (nesting cover), B (brood habitat), C (protective cover), D (food), and E (site integrity) we have the limiting factor for the site. The contestant would mark Limiting Factor A (nesting cover), Limiting Factor D (food) because they are tied for the lowest score (10), and Poor (<11) because of the habitat rating of 10.

2. The present conditions for **Beef Cattle** are:

$$A1 = 10$$
 $A2 = 20$ $A3 = 40$ $B1 = 40$ $B2 = 40$ $B3 = 0$ $C1 = 40$.

Taking the lowest value of A (forage factors), B (distributions factors), and C (site integrity) we have the limiting factor for the site. The contestant would mark Limiting Factor B (distribution factors) because of the lowest score (0) and Poor (<11) because of the habitat rating of 0.

Once the left-hand side of the card, Resource Inventory - Present Conditions, has been marked, do not change any of the marks on this side of the card. As you proceed to the right-hand side of the card, Recommended Management Practices, you will use the Resource Inventory - Present Conditions and the Beef Cattle and Bobwhite Quail Habitat Evaluation Guides to help make the Recommended Management Practices.

The contestant should observe the Posted Material for the contest. This includes any special information such as distance to water and the land managers objectives.

In this example, the Habitat Rating Objective given by the Land Manager was 30 for Bobwhite Quail and 20 for Beef Cattle.

For Bobwhite Quail:

The land manager's objective is 30.

The Present Condition for Bobwhite Quail is 10 because of the rating for both Nesting Cover and Food Components is 10 in the Summary. The contestant must find the limiting factor(s) and raise the value to the next highest number within the component group, while always looking for the lowest number.

Nesting Cover Quality (A1) is raised from 10 to 40 by checking Improve or Develop Nesting Cover, but Nesting Cover Height (A2) is 30 so the score in the Summary is raised from 10 to 30, since 30 now represents the lowest value (limiting factor) for Nesting Cover Components.

Food Availability (D4) is raised from 10 to 40 by checking Increase the Amount of Bare Ground, but Food Diversity (D3) is 30 so the score in the Summary is raised from 10 to 30, since 30 represents the lowest value (limiting factor) for Food Components.

Now the lowest value in the Summary is 20 for Brood Habitat, specifically Brood Habitat Quantity (B1). Brood Habitat is raised from 20 to 40 by checking Improve or Develop Brood Habitat, since under Brood Habitat Components the next lowest value is 40.

The land manager's objective is 30, and the lowest value in the Summary is 30. Therefore, the objective has been met.

Under Recommended Management Practices, the contestant would mark Improve or Develop Nesting Cover, Increase the Amount of Bare Ground, and Improve or Develop Brood Habitat.

For Beef Cattle:

The land manager's objective is 20.

The Present Condition for Beef Cattle is 0 because of the rating for Distribution Components is 0 in the Summary. The contestant must find the limiting factor(s) and raise the value to the next highest number within the component group, while always looking for the lowest number.

Water (B1) is raised from 0 to 40 by checking Develop Water. Since the other components are also 40, 40 represents the lowest value (limiting factor) and thus the score in the Summary is 40.

Forage Production (A1) is raised from 10 to 40 by checking Apply Forb or Grass Control and Begin a Planned Grazing System, but Forage Diversity (A2) is 20 so the score in the Summary is raised from 10 to 20, since 20 represents the lowest value (limiting factor) for the Forage Components.

The land manager's objective is 20, and the lowest value in the Summary is 20. Therefore, the objective has been met.

Under Recommended Management Practices, the contestant would mark Develop Water for Beef Cattle, Begin a Planned Grazing System, and Apply Forb or Grass Control.

PLANT LIST

The plants selected for the contest are dominants in their respective regions. Plant characteristics and their ratings for bobwhite quail and beef cattle are based on ecological criteria and value to the particular animal. Native plants that are classified as invasive are those that did not occur historically on the ecological site under the influences of fire, drought, and herbivory. Introduced plants that escape from where they are planted are also classified as invasive.

Field Guide to Oklahoma Plants Book Availability

Commonly Encountered Prairie, Shrubland, and Forest Species

Authors: R.J. Tyrl

T.G. Bidwell R.M. Masters

This book comprises synopses of 203 species. Each synopsis includes information about the taxon's (1) morphology, (2) taxonomy and nomenclature, (3) geographic distribution, (4) ecology, and (5) economic and/or wildlife significance. A full-page illustration by noted botanical artist Bellamy Parks Jansen accompanies each synopsis. Also included are two chapters that give an overview of the vegetation of Oklahoma and contributing ecogeographical factors. An illustrated glossary of the common botanical and ecological terms used to describe the taxa and vegetation, and a comprehensive index is included.

As the title of the book implies, the objective of the authors was to write a guide that facilitates the identification of commonly encountered, ecologically distinctive, or biologically/economically important plants. They envision the book's users to be anyone interested in the plants of Oklahoma, whether students, scientists, or individuals who simply enjoy the beauty of the state's plants. In addition, this book serves as the official guide for the National Rangeland Judging Contest: Judging Rangeland for Livestock and Wildlife Values.

To receive a copy of the Field Guide to Oklahoma Plants, please contact:

Dr. Terry Bidwell
Rangeland Ecology and Management
Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management
008C AGH, Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078
405.744.5438
terry.bidwell@okstate.edu
http://nrem.okstate.edu

ST = Stature	ECOLOGICAL AND RES					RESOURCE VALUE RATING			
Short = S Mid = M	t = S Annual = A W = M Biennial = B Co		S = Season of Growth arm Season = W ool Season = C		Origin e = N luced = IN	Desirable = De Und		desirable = Un Cattle	
Tall = T	Perennial = P				ive = IV	DVV Quali			
		ST	LH	SG	OR	Food	Cover	Food	
RASSES									
1 Alkali Sacaton (Sporo	bolus airoides)*	M	Р	W	N	UN	DE	DE	
2 Annual Threeawn (Ari	stida oligantha)	S	A	W	N	UN	UN	UN	
3 Bermudagrass (Cynoc	don dactylon)	S	Р	W	IN, IV	UN	UN	DE	
4 Big or Sand Bluestem	(Andropogon gerardii)	T	Р	W	N	UN	DE	DE	
5 Blue Grama (Boutelou	ua gracilis)	S	Р	W	N	UN	UN	DE	
6 Broadleaved Uniola (0	Chasmanthium latifolium	n)* T	Р	С	N	UN	DE	UN	
7 Brome (Bromus spp.)		S	A	С	IN, IV	UN	UN	UN	
8 Broomsedge Bluester	n (Andropogon virginicu	ıs) T	Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN	
9 Buffalograss (Buchloe	. , , ,	S	Р	W	N	UN	UN	DE	
10 Canada Wild rye (Elyr		М	Р	С	N	UN	DE	DE	
11 Eastern Gamagrass (,	T	Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
12 Fall Witchgrass (Lepte	, ,	S	P	W	N	DE	UN	DE	
13 Florida Paspalum (Pa	• ,	T	P	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
14 Hairy Grama (Boutelo	•	S	P	W	N	UN	UN	DE	
15 Indiangrass (Sorghasi	,	T	P	W	N	UN	DE	DE	
16 Inland Saltgrass (Dist	•	S	P	W	N	UN	UN	UN	
17 Johnsongrass (Sorgh	. ,	T	P	W	IN. IV	DE	DE	DE	
18 Little Barley (Hordeun		S	A	C	IN, IV	UN	UN	UN	
		T	P	W	N N	UN	DE	DE	
19 Little Bluestem (Schiz			P				UN	DE	
20 Old World Bluestem (´	P	W	IN, IV	UN			
21 Perennial Threeawn (M	T '	W	N	UN	UN	UN	
22 Plains Lovegrass (Era		M	P	W	N	UN	UN	DE	
23 Prairie Cordgrass (Sp	. ,	T	P	W	N	UN	DE	DE	
24 Prairie Sedge (Carex	,	S	P -	С	N	DE	UN	DE	
25 Purpletop (Tridens flat	·	T	Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN	
26 Rescuegrass (Bromus	,	S	A	C	IN, IV	UN	UN	UN	
27 Sand Dropseed (Spor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	M	P	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
28 Sand Lovegrass (Erag	grostis trichodes)	M	P	W	N	UN	UN	DE	
29 Scribner Panicum (Pa	nicum oligosanthes)	S	P	C	N	DE	UN	DE	
30 Sideoats Grama (Bou	teloua curtipendula)	M	Р	W	N	UN	DE	DE	
31 Silver Bluestem (Both	• ,	M	P	W	N	UN	DE	UN	
32 Splitbeard Bluestem (Andropogon ternarius)	M	Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN	
33 Switchgrass (Panicum	n virgatum)	Т	Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
34 Tall Dropseed (Sporol	bolus spp.)	T	Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
35 Tall Fescue (Festuca	arundinacea)	М	Р	С	IN, IV	UN	UN	DE	
36 Texas Bluegrass (Poa	arachnifera)	M	Р	С	N	UN	UN	DE	
37 Vine Mesquite (Panica		М	Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE	
38 Weeping Lovegrass (,	М	Р	W	IN	UN	DE	DE	
	(Elymus smithii)	М	Р	С	N	UN	DE	DE	

^{*} Not used on the National Contest.

PLANT C	CHARACTERIS	TIC, ECOLOGICAL AND RE	RESOURCE VALUE RATING						
Sh	Short = S Annual = A		Warm Seas	GG = Season of Growth OR = Origin Narm Season = W Native = N Cool Season = C Introduced = IN			Desirable = De Undesirable =		
						ive = IV	BW Quail		Cattle
			ST	LH	SG	OR	Food	Cover	Food
49 Ser	icea Lespedeza	a (Lespedeza cuneata)		Р	W	IN, IV	UN	UN	UN
50 Ses	sile-leaved Tickcl	lover (Desmodium sessilifolium)	Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE
51 Sler	nder Dalea (<i>Da</i>	lea enneandra)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE
52 Sler	nder Lespedeza	a (Lespedeza virginica)		P	W	N	DE	DE	DE
53 Tep	hrosia (Tephros	sia virginiana)*		P	W	N	UN	DE	DE
54 Trai	iling Wildbean ((Strophostyles helvula)		P	W	N	DE	DE	DE
55 Whi	ite Clover (Trifo	lium repens)*		P	С	IN	UN	UN	DE
56 Wild	d Indigo (<i>Baptis</i>	sia spp.)		Р	С	N	UN	DE	UN
57 Woo	olly Loco (Astra	agalus mollissimus)		P	С	N	UN	UN	UN
58 Yell	low Neptune (N	leptunia lutea)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE
ORBS									
59 Ann	nual Sunflower	(Helianthus annuus)		А	W	N	DE	DE	DE
60 Ante	elopehorn Milk	weed (Asclepias viridis)		Р	С	N	UN	DE	UN
61 Ash	ny Sunflower (H	lelianthus mollis)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE
62 Bas	sketflower (Cen	taurea americana)*		Α	W	N	UN	DE	UN
63 Bitte	er Sneezeweed	d (Helenium amarum)*		Α	W	N	UN	DE	UN
64 Blad	ckeyed Susan ((Rudbeckia hirta)		Α	W	N	DE	DE	UN
65 Blad	cksamson (Ech	inacea angustifolia)		Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN
66 Bro	om Snakeweed	d (Gutierrezia sarothrae)*		Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN
67 Con	mmon Broomwee	ed (Gutierrezia dracunculoide:	3)	Α	W	N	UN	DE	UN
68 Cor	mpass Plant (Si	ilphium laciniatum)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE
69 Cro	ton (Croton sp	o.)		Α	W	N	DE	DE	UN
70 Cur	lycup Gumwee	d (Grindelia squarrosa)*		Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN
71 Dais	sy Fleabane (<i>E</i>	rigeron strigosus)		Α	С	N	UN	DE	UN
72 Dot	ted Gayfeather	(Liatris punctata)		Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN
73 Eng	gelmann Daisy	(Engelmannia peristenia)*		Р	С	N	DE	DE	DE
74 Gia	nt Ragweed (A	mbrosia trifida)		Α	W	N	DE	DE	UN
75 Goa	at's Beard (<i>Tra</i> g	ropogon pratensis)		Α	С	IN	DE	UN	UN
76 Gol	denrod (Solida	go spp.)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
77 Half	fshrub Sundrop	(Calyophus serrulatus)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
78 Hea	ath Aster (Aster	ericoides)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
79 Hor	rseweed (Conyz	za canadensis)		A	W	N	UN	DE	UN
80 Indi	ian Blanket (Ga	illardia pulchella)*		Α	С	N	UN	DE	UN
81 Lan	nceleaf Ragwee	ed (Ambrosia bidentata)*		A	W	N	DE	UN	UN
82 Max	ximilian Sunflov	ver (Helianthus maximiliani)		P	W	N	DE	DE	DE
83 Mex	xican Hat (<i>Ratik</i>	oida columnifera)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
84 Pep	pperweed (Lepid	dium virgincum)		A	С	N	DE	UN	DE
	cher Sage (Salv			P	W	N	UN	UN	DE
	ins Yucca (Yucc			P	С	N	UN	DE	UN
87 Pric	ckly Pear Cactu	s (Opuntia macrorhiza)		Р	W	N, IV	UN	DE	UN
88 Sag	gewort (Artemis	ia ludoviciana)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
	-	de (Solanum elaeagnifolium)		P	W	N	UN	UN	UN
		ntain (<i>Euphorbia marginata</i>)		A	W	N	DE	DE	UN
	mpweed (<i>Iva an</i>	•		Α	W	N	UN	DE	UN
		dsel (Senecio flaccidus)*		P	W	N	UN	UN	UN
		l (Oxalis violacea)		A	С	N	DE	UN	UN
		(Haplopappus ciliatus)		Α	W	N	DE	DE	UN
		(Vernonia baldwinii)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
96 Wes	stern Ragweed	(Ambrosia psilostachya)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN
		Eupatorium rugosum)		P	W	N	UN	DE	UN
98 Yarı	row (Achillea m	illefolium)		P	С	N	UN	UN	UN
			1			1	L DE	L DE	1

⁹⁹ Yellow Puccoon (*Lithospermum incisum*)

* Not used on the National Contest.

PLANT CHARACTERISTIC, ECOLOGICAL AND RESOURCE RATING GUIDE							RESOURCE VALUE RATING					
ST = Stature Short = S	LH = Life History Annual = A	War	m Seaso	Season of Growth		Season = W Nati		Origin e = N	Desirable = De		Undesirable = Un	
Mid = M Tall = T	Biennial = B Perennial = P	Coo	ol Season = C			luced = IN ive = IV	BW Quail		Cattle			
			ST	LH	SG	OR	Food	Cover	Food			
WOODIES												
100 American Beautyb	erry (Callicarpa americana	1)*		Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
101 American Elm (Ulr	mus americana)			Р	W	N	UN	UN	DE			
102 Black Locust (Rob	inia pseudoacacia)*			Р	W	N, IV	DE	DE	UN			
103 Blackjack Oak (Qu	iercus marilandica)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
104 Buckbrush (Sympl	horicarpos orbiculatus)			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
105 Buttonbush (Ceph	alanthus occidentalis)			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
106 Chittamwood (Burn	nelia lanuginosa)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
107 Eastern Cottonwoo	od (Populus deltoides)			Р	W	N	UN	UN	DE			
108 Eastern Redcedar	(Juniperus virginiana)			Р	С	N, IV	UN	UN	UN			
109 False Indigo (Amo	rpha fruticosa)			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
110 Fragrant Sumac (F	Rhus aromatica)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
111 Greenbrier (Smila)	x bona-nox)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
112 Hackberry (Celtis s	spp.)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
113 Hawthorn (Crataec	gus viridis)*			Р	W	N, IV	UN	DE	UN			
114 Mesquite (Prosopi	s glandulosa)*			Р	W	N, IV	UN	DE	UN			
115 Oklahoma Blackbe	erry (Rubus oklahomus)			Р	W	N. IV	DE	DE	UN			
116 Osage Orange (M	aclura pomifera)			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
117 Persimmon (Diosp	yros virginiana)*			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
118 Poison-ivy (Toxico	dendron radicans)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
119 Post Oak (Quercus	s stellata)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
120 Redbud (Cercis ca	anadensis)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
121 Rough-leaf Dogwo	ood (Cornus drummondii)			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
122 Saltcedar (Tamarix	chinensis)*			Р	W	IN, IV	UN	UN	UN			
123 Sand Plum (Prunu	s angustifolia)			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
124 Sand Sagebrush (Artemisia filifolia)*			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
125 Shinnery Oak (Qui	•			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
126 Soapberry (Sapino	•			Р	W	N	UN	DE	UN			
127 Southern Blackhay	•			Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
128 Sumac (Rhus spp.	,			Р	W	N	DE	DE	UN			
129 Virginia Creeper (I	Parthenocissus quinquefol	ia)		Р	W	N	DE	DE	DE			
130 Winged Elm (Ulmu				Р	W	N. IV	UN	DE	DE			

^{*} Not used on the National Contest.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sam E. Curl, Director of Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Dean of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$9,364.43 for 800 copies. 0604 JA Revised.

