OUSF Implementation of Arledge & Associates and Ostrander Group Audit Recommendations December 31, 2017 Status Report

Item Number	Auditor's Recommendation and OCC Response	Lead Personnel	Target Completion Date	Status
AR-1 Arledge	Recommendation 2016-1: We recommend that the Oklahoma Universal Service Fund require GVNW to use a double-entry general ledger/accounting software package to maintain the accounting records of the fund.	Kris Prouty- Lead	December 2016	Complete. September 2016
	OUSF Administrator's Response: The OUSF Administrator supports the conversion to a double-entry accounting system. The OUSF Administrator will work with GVNW, internal staff, contracted accounting firm, and the State Auditor's Office to ensure an acceptable program is in place with documented entry processes.			
AR-2 Arledge	Recommendation 2016-2: We recommend the OUSF Administrator contract with a CPA firm or utilize equivalent personnel to perform a systematic review of financial information that is prepared by the bookkeeper prior to submission of reports to the OCC/PUD.	Kris Prouty- Lead	December 2016	Complete. September 2016
	OUSF Administrator's Response: The OUSF Administrator has personnel (the Monthly Payment Team) who work with GVNW on a monthly basis, and their work includes a review of financial reporting. GVNW performs the initial stages of data entry and reporting then submits to the Monthly Payment Team manager for review and approval. This has been set up as a dual review environment to ensure accuracy of entries and reports.			
	Financial entries, reports, and payments are reviewed by CPAs within PUD's OUSF Monthly Payment Team. Kris Prouty, CPA, is the manager of the Monthly Payment Team and Farzad Khalili was the OUSF Auditor whose full time duties included a review of the monthly payouts and financial reporting through December 31, 2015. In			

Item Number	Auditor's Recommendation and OCC Response	Lead Personnel	Target Completion Date	Status
	January 2016, Carolyn Weber, CPA, replaced Farzad and was assigned the review of the financial entries and reports. No reports are posted to the web for public viewing or submitted to the Commissioners for regular reporting prior to review by this team. The Administrator agrees that an internal or external review of all financial information prepared by GVNW prior to submission to the OCC/PUD is a beneficial internal control. The Administrator will work with GVNW, OUSF personnel, contracted accountants, and the State Auditor's office to ensure the review process is robust and well-documented. Documentation of these reviews is available onsite in PUD.			
AR-3 Arledge	Recommendation 2016-3: We recommend that written policies and procedures be developed and implemented. OUSF Administrator's Response: The OUSF Administrator and PUD have been working on operations (procedures) manuals and desk routines (Audit Programs) to cover all PUD and OUSF functions. Prior to this audit, PUD notified GVNW that desk routines and procedures will need to be completed and submitted to the OUSF Administrator for approval and inclusion in the PUD Manual. The manual is being prepared under the supervision of Janice Lisko, CPA. The referenced section is scheduled to be complete by February 28, 2017.	Janice Lisko	February 2017	Complete. February 2017
AR-4 Arledge	Recommendation 2016-4: We recommend the approval of an OUSF factor which considers all potential payments including the pending causes. OUSF Administrator's Response: As provided to the auditors, the OUSF Administrator conducts an annual review of the funding factor through a filed cause. The	Commission	Litigated	Complete. Per Commission Order

Item Number	Auditor's Recommendation and OCC Response	Lead Personnel	Target Completion Date	Status
	Administrator then files a recommendation in the cause and a full hearing is conducted. The hearing is conducted by an Administrative Law Judge who then makes recommendations to the Commission. These recommendations are currently pending before the Commissioners.			
	Commission Response:			
	On June 4, 2015, the Commission entered Order No. 641487 in Cause No. PUD 201400001, setting the OUSF contribution factor at 2.16% unless or until superseded by Commission order in Cause No. PUD 201400341.			
	Cause No. PUD 201400341 requests the factor be increased to 4.32% for FY 2015, and is currently pending before the Commission.			
	Cause No. PUD 201500466 requests the factor be increased on an interim basis to 3.96% (of which .0321% represents the Oklahoma Lifeline Fund ["OLF"] factor) for FY 2016, and is currently pending before the Commission.			
	Because the OUSF and OLF factor cases are currently pending before the Commission, the Commission declines to comment on the factor at this time.			

 Recommendation 1-2016: 1) The PUD should revise Commission rules to require that th Administrator be notified by carriers of individual incident 60 days of a temporary suspension or permanent revocation E-rate funding. 	s within Prouty	November 2017	 Complete. New rule effective September 11, 2017. Complete. The amount paid
 2) The PUD should post any temporary suspension or per revocation of either E-rate funding or OUSF funding resulting fraud to its public website so this information is available to and other parties with a vested interest in being aware information, because it could impact the decisions that school regarding a selection of a carrier (and impact the PUD's event or approval of these carriers). OUSF Administrator's Response: The Administrator monitors activities regarding USAC as it recarriers providing internet access and telemedicine facily Oklahoma schools, libraries, and healthcare provider Administrator is aware of a number of USAC investigations of in progress. In fact, the USAC investigation of two Occompanies is the result of the Administrator advising USAC of anomalies associated with universal service funding issues as with these companies. The Administrator has regular communications with USAC as part of ongoing investigations in not make those ongoing investigations public until cleared to do 1. The OUSF Administrator supports the suggested revice Commission rules and is including the suggestion in the rulemaking process. 2. The OUSF Administrator supports the recommendation fraud-related suspension to the PUD website for ease of access. 	elates to ities to s. The currently klahoma various sociated direct out does o so. sion to current		to each school on a monthly basis is posted to the Commission's website. A change in this monthly amount due to a reduction of federal E-rate funding will therefore be reflected No notifications of suspensions have been received.

	Ostrander Points for Future Consideration				
		Lead Personnel	Target Completion Date	Status	
Ostrander	Audit of OUSFAdministrator-				
	The recommended points for future consideration related to the audit of the OUSF Administrator are set forth in this section.				
	Implement Voluntary Code of Ethics for Marketing and Promotional Practices for Industry Participants.	Brandy Wreath	January 2019	OUSF rules will not be opened for revision this fiscal year (FY 18).	
	2. The PUD Should Continue to Offer Training Seminars to Schools and Other Industry Entities.	Derrel Fincher and Richard McKay	Ongoing	2. Complete. PUD continues to perform onsite meetings and educational sessions. For a complete listing see the following weblink: http://www.occeweb.com/pu/OUSF/OUSFTraininggessions/OUSFTraininggessions.html	

Ostrander	Audit of Fund Manager (GVNW)- The recommended points for future consideration related to the audit of GVNW are set forth in this section.				
	1. Implement a Formal Written Policies and Procedures Manual between the OUSF and GVNW.	Kris Prouty	March 2017	1.	Complete. February 2017
	2. The future Fund Manager Contract Should Be Specific and Detailed and Reference the Operations Manual.	Brandy Wreath	June 2018	2.	The OUSF Administrator has assigned a committee to review bids.
	3. A Formal Uncollected Revenue Policy Should Be Implemented.	Kris Prouty and General Counsel	November 2017	3.	Complete. November 2017. PUD has updated the procedures manual to reflect the current process.
	4. The Fund Administrator Should Provide an Annual Performance Grading of the Fund Manager.	Brandy Wreath	June 2018	4.	This recommendation will be built into the new RFP and contract to be issued in 2018.