OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -

Bridge Inspection Report
Suff. Rating: 59.7 Health Index: 77.9 NBI No.: 13653 Structure No.: 6702 0880 X Local ID:-1

NBI No.: 13653 Structure No.: 6702 (0880 X Local 1	ID:-1		i	SD		77.9		
Description: <u>IDENTIFICATION</u>						ECTION			
45'-50'-45' I-BM. SPANS SK60 DEG. WITH 2-18' SAFET			Insp Req.	Insp Done	Freq:	Insp. Date:	Next Insp.:		
State:Oklahoma State:Oklahoma State:Oklahoma State:Oklahoma		NBI:		Y	24	1/26/2018	1/26/2020		
3. County Code: SEMINOLE 4. Place Code: Unk	nown	FC Freq.:	N	N	NA	NA	NA		
Admin. Area: Unknown	270 0	UW Freq.:	N	N	NA	NA NA	NA		
5. Inventory Route (Route On Structure): 1 - 2 - 1 - 00 6. Feature Intersected: UP R.R. UNDER	1270 - 0	OS Freq.:	N	N	NA	NA	NA		
7. Facility Carried: U.S. 270 U.S. 270			<u>C</u>		FICATION .				
l [Mile Post: 8.798 mi			: On Base Netwo		20. Toll Facility: 3 On f			
13. LRS Inv. Route./ Subroute.: 6702 0000 05				Highway Agency		22. Owner: 01 State High			
	ongitude: 096 34 50.71			06 Rural Minor A		37. Historical Sig.: 5 No 101. Parallel Structure: 1	· ·		
98. Border Br. Code: Jnknown (P) % Resp.: 0 99. Bo	order Br. #: Unknown	100. Detens			NETH	103. Temp. Structure: Ur	· ·		
STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATE	<u>ERIALS</u>			0 Not on NHS		105. Fed. Land Hwy 0 N			
43. Main Span Material and Design Type		110. Nationa	al Truck Ne	twork:) Not par	t of na	112. NBIS Length: Long	Enough		
Steel Stringer/Gird 44. Approach Span Material and Design Type	er					OITION			
Unknown (NBI) Unknown (P)		58. Deck:	4 Poor	50.5			ub.: 5 Fair		
45. No. of Spans Main Unit: 3 46. No. of Approach	ch Spans: 0		t: N N/A (N		-	Satisfactory 60. So Channel Protection: N N			
107. Deck Type: 1 Concrete-Cast-in-Place		Flowline N		(DI) 01. C	Jiaiiici/	Chamier Frotection. 1414	(NDI)		
108A. Wearing Surface: 6 Bituminous 108B. Membrane: 8 Unknown		ABANDON		LOW					
108C. Deck Protection: 8 Unknown									
AGE AND SERVICE									
	t t TY-l			·	RATING	AND POSTING			
27. Year Built: 1956 106. Year Red 28A. Lanes on: 2 28B. Lanes Under: 0	constructed: Unknown 19. Detour Length: 3.7 mi	31. Design			tor T-	41. Posting status: A Op			
28A. Lanes on: 2 28B. Lanes Under: 0 29. ADT: 6100 30. Year of ADT: 2015	19. Detour Length: 3.7 ml 109. Truck ADT %: 16	_	_		tor-Ton	Alt. Op. Rating Meth.: 140.9 52.8	I LF Load Factor-To 92.2		
42A. Type of Service on: 1 Highway	107. HUCK AD1 /0. 10	_		H / HS / 3-3): H / HS / 3-3):		40.9 52.8 24.5 31.7	92.2 55.4		
42B. Type of Service under: 2 Railroad					rtor-Ton	Alt. Inv. Rating Meth.: 1			
			-	ve Legal Loads	101-101	Date Rated: 3/1/2004			
GEOMETRIC DATA		70.100			OCED II				
10. Inv. Rte. Min. Vert. Clr.: 328.1 ft		04 Prida	Cost: \$	<u>PROPC</u> 6654,780	DSED IN	<u>APROVEMENTS</u> 75. Type of Work: 31	Parl Load Consoit		
32. Approach Roadway Width (W/ Shoulders): 44.0 ft		94. Bridge				76. Lgth. of Improvm			
Deck Area: 4,402.4 sq. ft 33. Median:	0 No median	95. Roadway Cost: \$1,080,387 76. Lgth. of Improvment: 259.8 ft 96. Total Cost: \$1,833,384 114. Future ADT: 9760							
	lared: 0 No flare	97. Year of Cost Est.: 2015 115. Year of Future ADT: 2035							
47. Inv. Rte. Total Horiz. Clr.: 28.0 ft				N	IAVIGA	TION DATA			
48. Length Maximum Span:49.9 ft 50A. Curb/Sdwlk Wdth L: 0.0 ft 50B. Curb/Sid	ELength: 142.1 ft ewalk Width R: 0.0 ft	38. Navi	gation Cont	rol: NA-no wate	rway				
51. Width Curb to Curb: 28.0 ft 52. Width Ou			cal Clearanc		-	40. Horizontal Clearar			
53. Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge: 328.1 ft	it to Out. 31.0 ft	111. Pier I	Protection:	1 Not Required		116. Lift Bridge Vert. C	Clear.: 0.0 ft		
54A/54B. Min. Vert. Underclearance: R Railroad beneath s	struc 23.0 ft	<u>APPRAISAL</u>							
<u>N/E</u> <u>S/W</u>			_	leets Standards		36C. Approach Rail:	1 Meets Standards		
Meas1 -1 -1 -1	-1 -1	36B. Transition: 1 Meets Standards 36D. Approach Rail Ends: 1 Meets Standards 67. Str. Evaluation: 5 Above Min Tolerable 68. Deck Geometry: 2 Intolerable - Replace							
Post. DO NOT I DO NOT I DO NOT I DO NO	OT U IE -1	69. Underclearance, Vertical and Horizontal: 4 Tolerable							
55A/55B. Minimum Lateral Undrclearance R: R Railroad b	eneath struc 10.8 ft	71. Waterway Adequacy: N Not applicable							
56. Minimum Lateral Undrelearance L: 0.0 ft		72. Approach Alignment: 7 Above Min Criteria							
		113. Scour Critical: N Not Over Waterway							
200c. Temperature: 80	214a. Posted Weight Limit:	NR			1 243	. Girder Spacing/Number	-1.0 / -1		
200d. Weather: CLOUDY	b. Posted Speed Limit:	NR				. Span Lengths :	1.01		
201. Structural Steel ASTM Desig.: -1 -1	c. Narrow/One Lane Bridge	-				-1	-1		
202. Waterproof Membrane :-1	d. Vertical Clearance Sign:	NO				-1 -1 -1	-1		
Date Installed: 1/1/1901	Advanced Warning Sign :	NO				. Girder Depth: 96.000			
203. Type Exp. Dev. : Other Type						•	nipseal		
204 Type of Handrell, GER 1	e. Navigation Lights :	_				. Overlay Thickness: 0.	-		
204. Type of Handrail: SFP-1 205. Material and Quantity: 577.0	Working/Not Working:	_			246	. Overlay Date : 11	/1/2001		
208. Type of Abutment : Skeleton	215. Overpass: C - US Highwa	y				. Overlay Depth Changed			
Type of Foundation : Concrete Piling	221. Substructure Cond. (U/W)	: -				7. Protective Systems : 1:			
	222 E.II D.CD	0			2:				
209. Type of Pier / Found.: 1 Pier Yes	222. Fill over RCB:				1.	Ε.			
209. Type of Pier / Found.: 1 Pier Yes No Piling or Drilled Shaft	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.:	Good			4: 248	_			
**	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.:	Good	nic Zinc 3 (loat .	248	_ 5: . No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists?	Corrosion: -1		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft		Good	nic Zinc 3 C	Coat	248 249	. No. of Field Splices w/	Corrosion : -1		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft 210. Foundation Elev1.0 -1.0	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.: 225. Paint Type:	Good Inorgai	nic Zinc 3 C	Coat	248 249 250	. No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists? . Culvert Headwall Dist.:	Corrosion : -1 ': _ -1.0		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft 210. Foundation Elev1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 211. Wear. Surf. Prot. System: None Date Installed: 1/1/1901	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.: 225. Paint Type : Overcoat :	Good Inorgai Not Ap		Coat	248 249 250 256	. No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists? . Culvert Headwall Dist.: . Chan. Profile Up/Down	Corrosion : -1 ':1.0 Stream?: _		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft 210. Foundation Elev1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 211. Wear. Surf. Prot. System: None Date Installed: 1/1/1901 213. Utilities Attached: -1	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.: 225. Paint Type: Overcoat: 226. Date Painted:	Good Inorga Not Ap 0606		Coat	248 249 250 256 257	. No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists? . Culvert Headwall Dist.: . Chan. Profile Up/Down a. OkiePROS Auto. Truc	Corrosion: -1 b:1.0 Stream?: _ k Routing Yes		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft 210. Foundation Elev1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 211. Wear. Surf. Prot. System: None Date Installed: 1/1/1901 213. Utilities Attached: -1 -1 -1 -1	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.: 225. Paint Type: Overcoat: 226. Date Painted: 227. Paint Coloring: 233. Deck Forming:	Good Inorga Not Ap 0606 Gray	pplicable	Coat	248 249 250 256 257 258	. No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists? . Culvert Headwall Dist.: . Chan. Profile Up/Down a. OkiePROS Auto. Truc . Plans w/ found. are in fi	Corrosion: -1 ::1.0 Stream?: _ k Routing Yes ile at ODOT:		
No Piling or Drilled Shaft 210. Foundation Elev1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 211. Wear. Surf. Prot. System: None Date Installed: 1/1/1901 213. Utilities Attached: -1	223. Appr. Slab/Rdwy Cond.: 225. Paint Type: Overcoat: 226. Date Painted: 227. Paint Coloring:	Good Inorgai Not Ap 0606 Gray and Desired Re	oplicable oute	Coat	248 249 250 256 257 258 259	. No. of Field Splices w/ . Scour Crit. POA exists? . Culvert Headwall Dist.: . Chan. Profile Up/Down a. OkiePROS Auto. Truc	Corrosion: -1 ::1.0 Stream?: _ k Routing Yes ile at ODOT: ODOT:		

2/2/2018 Page 1 of 3

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -

Bridge Inspection Report Suff. Rating: 59.7

Health Index:

NBI No.: 13653 Structure No.: 6702 0880 X Local ID:-1 SD 77.9

Inspection Date:	1/26/2018	Reported By: UFD3012
Invoice No.:	-1	Inspected With: Erik Cox
		Agency:

Structure / Inspection Notes

Three span structure consisting of: 45'-50'-45' simply supported steel beam spans.

Future inspection items include: bowing in the webs of the beam ends, beam ends spacing at the piers, settlement at the east abutment, exposed abutment piles at both abutments, heavy spalling on piers, deterioration to deck.

Repair Recommendations Include:

PX - Due to the quantity of temporary repairs and their condition, consider full deck

replacement. If full deck replacement is not scheduled:

o Reseal the fixed joints and replace the expansion joint system, including class C repair of the adjacent deck.

o Perform destructive testing on the concrete parapet to determine the condition of the anchorage system.

- PX Flame cut beam ends which are within 1/4-in of being in contact to allow expansion; monitor the separation between beam ends.
- PX Install an additional transverse web stiffener plate at each beam end which has a transverse web stiffener plate that is aligned more than 2-in from the roller bearing.
- PX Backfill and stabilize areas of erosion at each abutment.
- PX Remove unsound concrete and patch delaminated and spalled areas of the pier cap.
- FX Replace the northwest object marker.
- FX Seal the crack in the west abutment breastwall.
- FX Monitor the distortion of exterior beam end webs.
- FX Clean and spot-paint the beam ends and bearings.
- FX Monitor the lateral misalignment of beam ends.
- FX Monitor the settlement of the east approach roadway, leveling as needed.

1		TI												
Elm.	Env	. Description	Un.	Qty.	Qty.St. 1	% 1	Qty.St. 2	% 2	Qty.St. 3	% 3	Qty.St. 4	% 4	Qty.St. 5	% 5
12	4	Reinforced Concrete Deck	(SF)	3,979	0	0 %	0	0 %	3,979	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
107	4	Steel Open Girder Beam	(LF)	560	560	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
210	4	Reinforced Conc Pier Wall	(LF)	69	69	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
215	4	Reinforced Conc Abutment	(LF)	120	69	58 %	41	34 %	10	8 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
227	4	Reinforced Conc Pile	(EA)	5	5	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
234	4	Reinforced Conc Cap	(LF)	69	24	35 %	15	22 %	30	43 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
301	4	Pourable Joint Seal	(LF)	70	0	0 %	0	0 %	70	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
311	4	Moveable Bearing (roller, sliding, etc.)	(EA)	15	0	0 %	5	33 %	10	67 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
313	4	Fixed Bearing	(EA)	15	0	0 %	0	0 %	15	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
321	4	Reinforced Conc Approach Slab w/ or w/o AC O	(EA)	2	0	0 %	1	50 %	1	50 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
331	4	Reinforced Conc Bridge Railing	(LF)	285	71	25 %	212	74 %	2	1 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
510	4	Wearing Surfaces	(SF)	3,979	0	0 %	1,193	30 %	1,592	40 %	1,194	30 %	0	0 %
515	4	Steel (Superstructure) Protective Coating	(SF)	6,057	0	0 %	6,057	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
859	4	Soffit of Concrete Decks and Slabs	(EA)	1	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
865	4	Steel Open Girder/Beam End (5 Ft.)	(LF)	150	0	0 %	90	60 %	60	40 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
909	4	Pourable Fixed Joint Seal	(LF)	70	0	0 %	0	0 %	70	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
957	4	Pack Rust	(EA)	1	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
958	4	Concrete Cracking	(EA)	1	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
960	4	Settlement	(EA)	1	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
963	4	Steel Section Loss	(EA)	1	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
966	4	Exposed Abutment Piling	(EA)	1	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
968	4	Erosion	(EA)	1	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
969	4	Out-Of-Plane Distortion/Loading	(EA)	1	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
973	4	Horizontal Force	(EA)	1	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %

Additional

Elements

Elem.	Element Notes (Include Size and Location of Deterioration
12	PX - There are asphalt and concrete patches in the asphalt wearing surface and the concrete deck throughout the driving surface. These patches are typically deteriorating and
	cracking.
	PX – The asphalt wearing surface is raveling within the wheel lines, causing water to become entrapped in the worn asphalt.
	There is a light accumulation of debris along each shoulder.
107	< none >
210	< none >
215	PX – West Abutment; a 6-ft L x 32-in W x 30-in D erosion ditch has formed along the base of the breastwall beneath Beam 4 due to water leaking through the joint above. The
	ditch has exposed one (1) concrete pile up to 12-in high.
	PX – East Abutment; erosion has undermined the breastwall, exposing four (4) concrete piles up to 12-in high with up to 48-in of penetration.
	FX – West Abutment breastwall; horizontal crack, 34-ft L x up to 1/8-in W.
	East Abutment breastwall; horizontal cracks with efflorescence up to 1/16-in wide extending from Beam 1 to Beam 4.
227	See element 215

2/2/2018 Page 2 of 3

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -

Bridge Inspection Report
Suff. Rating: 59.7 Health Index
SD 77.9 Health Index: 77.9 NBI No.: 13653 Structure No.: 6702 0880 X Local ID:-1

(111	11.10.13033 Structure No0702 0000 X Local ID1	11.9
Elem	Element Notes (Include Size and Location of Deterioration	
234	FX – Pier 1 cap, south end of west face; vertical crack, full-height x 1/16-in wide. FX – Pier 1 cap, west face beneath Beam 1; an area of previous repair has deteriorated and is cracked and delaminated in a 22-in L x 18-in H area. FX – Pier 1 cap, Beam 2 pedestal; the repair on the northeast corner has failed, causing the pedestal to be spalled for the full height and width of the north and east faces	s. There is
	no exposed reinforcing or loss of bearing area. FX – Pier 1 cap, top face, Bay 4 adjacent to Bearing 5; spall with exposed reinforcing, 32-in W x 18-in L x 1 1/2-in D. FX – Pier 1 cap, Beam 5 pedestal, west face; delamination and spall, 22-in W x 6-in H x 2-in D, extending 5-in onto the top face. The delamination does not undermine FX – Pier 2 cap, east face beneath Beam 3; delamination, 6-in H x 8-in L. FX – Pier 2 cap, Bay 4; the top edge is delaminated, 4-ft L x 5-in W on the top face x 2-in H on the west face. FX – Pier 2 cap, top face, Bay 4; spall with exposed reinforcing along the east edge, 4-ft L x 9-in W x 2-in D. FX – Pier 2 cap, Beam 5 pedestal; an area of previous repair on the east face of the pedestal has deteriorated and is cracked and delaminated, extending 6-in onto the top	
301	PX – The zeap, beam's pedestar, an area of previous repair on the east race of the pedestar has deteriorated and is cracked and defainmated, extending 6-in onto the top PX – The expansion joint at each pier has adjacent deck and asphalt patches, which typically have cracking and edge spalls. The joints have deteriorated or missing arm sealant and are leaking along their full length. PX – The expansion joints are fully compressed.	
311	FX – Roller bearings typically have surface corrosion. Roller bearings at Pier 1 also have pack rust up to 1-in thick beneath the masonry plate.	
313	FX – The fixed bearings typically have freckled corrosion throughout with heavier surface corrosion around the masonry plate. The exterior fixed bearings have heavier corrosion and painted over section loss	r laminar
321	FX – The East Approach roadway has minor settlement. Each approach has longitudinal cracking and asphalt patches in the roadway.	
331	PX - The concrete parapet typically has corrosion bleed-out along the base, indicating corrosion of the steel reinforcing attaching the railing to the curb. FX – The northwest object marker is leaning to the east. North parapet, Span 2 at Pier 2; spall with exposed reinforcing, 2-ft H x 2-ft L x 3-in D.	
510	PX – There are asphalt and concrete patches in the asphalt wearing surface and the concrete deck throughout the driving surface. These patches are typically deteriorating cracking. PX – The asphalt wearing surface is raveling within the wheel lines, causing water to become entrapped in the worn asphalt. There is a light accumulation of debris along each shoulder.	ng and
515	FX – Beam ends and bearings have surface corrosion with no significant section loss.	
859	PX – The soffit is spalled with exposed reinforcing along the full length of the expansion joint at Pier 1 and along the joint in the exterior bays at Pier 2. The soffit has areas of dense map cracking with efflorescence throughout. The soffit has plywood forms beneath full-depth deck repairs in the exterior bays. The soffit around these patches is typically discolored with efflorescence and rust stai	ining.
865	FX – Beam ends typically have surface and minor laminar corrosion along the top flange and pack rust between the deck and top flange. FX – The webs of the exterior beams are typically bowed up to 1/4-in at each abutment due to pack rust between the webs and end diaphragms. FX – The end of Beam 1 at the West Abutment has laminar corrosion along the web and both top and bottom flanges past the bearings with painted over section loss up deep. There are isolated areas of active corrosion within this area.	to 1/8-in
909	PX – The fixed joint at each abutment typically has intermittent loss of adhesion. The fixed joint at the West Abutment has 7-ft of adhesion loss in the westbound lane. PX – There are areas of deck repair along the full length of the fixed joints; these repairs typically have cracking transverse to the joint.	
957	See elements 311 and 865	
958	See element 12	
960	The misalignment of the beam ends and parapets at each pier may be an indication of settlement/rotation of the abutments.	
963	See elements 311, 313 and 865	
966	See element 968	
968	PX - Erosion exists at both abutments exposing 4 piles at the east abutment and 1 pile at the west abutment.	
969	FX – Beam ends at Pier 1 are misaligned laterally up to 1 1/4-in. See element 865	
973	PX – Beam ends at the piers are typically within 1/16-in of being in contact at 80° F. At Pier 2, the Beam 5 ends are in contact. PX – The welded bearing stiffener plates at each beam end are typically 2-in to 3 3/4-in beyond the point of bearing (in expansion), at the time of inspection there was n distortion in the bearing zone of beam ends above the roller bearings. See element 865	no web

2/2/2018 Page 3 of 3